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## =.+*v PRESIDENT'S SPEECH

Dear Young bridge friends,
I am pleased to welcome you for the EBL Youth Pairs Championships Closing Ceremony.

This year, you have been 170 pairs coming from 26 countries.
You played in a very good spirit with a lot of fair-play.
Next month some of you will play in Salsomaggiore. I wish you all great success and numerous medals for the European countries during this World Championship.

This year, the EBL organises again a Youth camp. Around 120 players have now registered for this EBL 3-day camp.

I want to thank the Latvian Authorities for their great support and in particular Einars Fogelis, President of the Latvian Sports Federation's Council, and Vilnis Vitkovskis, Vice-Deputy Mayor of Liepāja.

Thank you also to all sponsors and in particular Latvijas Gaze, Akvedukts and Data Security Solutions.

I want to thank the Latvian Federation, the organising committee and all the staff from Latvia and EBL who worked with enthusiasm and professionalism to make this event in Liepāja such a success.

I hope that you will all keep a great memory of your stay in Liepāja.
Tomorrow, if you are not participating in the camp, you will go back home.
Have a safe journey and enjoy your evening.
I officially declare closed the $13^{\text {th }}$ European Youth Bridge Pairs Championships.

Yves Aubry<br>EBL President

## WOMEN'S PAIRS SESSION 7

## by Brian Senior

Going into the second set of day two of three in the U26 Women's Pairs, two Dutch pairs headed the field, with Janneke Wackwitz and Merel Bruijnsteen just ahead of Magdalena Ticha and Natalia Banas. We start the session with the leaders facing fourth-placed Magda Budzynska and Joanna Brede of Poland.

Board 11. Dealer South. None Vul.

- KQJ7
© K 43
$\diamond$ AJ5
\& AJ 5


| West <br> Brede | North <br> Bruijnsteen | East <br> Budzynska | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| - | - | - | Packwitz |

The Dutch N/S pair stopped in partscore when Janneke Wackwitz transferred to hearts over the 2NT rebid then passed Merel Bruijnsteen's completion. Three Hearts looks to be plenty high enough on the two hands but the cards lie very kindly for declarer, particularly if played by South, so that the club position cannot be attacked on the go.
Poland's Joanna Brede cashed the ace of spades to take a look at dummy and Magda Budzynska played the six, a neutral middle card. Brede switched to the three of diamonds, technically wrong - West should switch to the ten to surround the jack in case partner holds the queen and declarer the nine but not important on the actual deal. Wackwitz ran the diamond to her nine and led the jack of hearts, running it to Budzynska's queen. Back came a spade so Wackwitz won and played the king of hearts to East's ace. This time the return was a diamond to dummy's jack. Wackwitz could draw the remaining trump and cash out for ten tricks and +170 , worth a
dead average of 9 MPs each way.
Board 12. Dealer West. N/S Vul.
A 643
$\odot$ J 1097
$\diamond 1042$
\& 732


| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Brede | Bruijnsteen | Budzynska Wackwitz |  |
| 1\& | Pass | $1 \diamond$ | Pass |
| 2 | All Pass |  |  |

Brede opened a Polish Club, strong and artificial, a weak no trump, or clubs. One Diamond was either a negative or certain better hands usually based on diamonds, and 2 strong but not forcing. I think that a hand which requires only the right jack from partner is too strong to bid this way and would prefer to force to game, and indeed that approach would have been more successful on this deal as Budzynska passed as she had neither spade support nor useful high cards yet game was a good bet.

Bruiinsteen kicked off with the jack of hearts, which held the trick, then switched to a trump. I've seen a couple of earlier examples this week of (effectively) this club combination being misplayed, and it happened again. Brede won the spade switch on table and led a low club, putting in the queen when Wackwitz followed with the six. That won and Brede had 10 tricks for +170 and 4 MPs out of 18 . Had she put in the eight, she could have crossed back to dummy with a second spade to finesse the club queen and would have had 11 tricks.
Of course, South could have prevented the above play by putting in the ten or jack on the first round of clubs, but the real benefit of leading low to the eight on the first round comes when South has the jack and ten but the king is in the North hand. The finesse of the eight increases declarer's chance of winning two tricks in the suit from 50\% to 62.5\%.

Board 13. Dealer North. All Vul.

- Q 8732
$\checkmark$ A Q J 1096
$\diamond-$
\& 96

| - A 109 |  | N |  | K J |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\bigcirc$ K 42 |  |  | $\checkmark$ | 53 |
| $\diamond$ J 10952 |  | W E | $\diamond$ | AK 8743 |
| \& J 10 |  | S | 4 | A 54 |
|  | 4 | 654 |  |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ | 87 |  |  |
|  | $\diamond$ | Q 6 |  |  |
|  | 8 | K Q 873 |  |  |


| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Wackwitz | Zareba | Bruijnsteen Ciunczyk |  |

You will no doubt have your own views regarding North's opening bid. Were I to have a gun pointed at my head, obliging me to open the bidding, I would choose $1 \circlearrowleft$ over $1 \uparrow$ as the suit is both longer and so much stronger - hearts will play facing a small singleton, which cannot also be said about spades. However, my preference would be to pass then make a two-suited overcall. If done at a high level, partner might even wonder why I hadn't opened the bidding when holding so much playing strength and work out that it was because my suits were in the wrong order to show both comfortably after opening at the one level.
Anyway, enough about me. Poland's Anna Zareba opened 19 and Hanna Ciunczyk raised to $2 \boldsymbol{a}$ after the overcall. The auction gained momentum from here until the Dutch $\mathrm{E} / \mathrm{W}$ had reached their diamond game. Now we see another reason to open $1 \circlearrowleft-5 \diamond$ is cold on a spade lead but goes down on a heart lead. Zareba went on to $5 \boldsymbol{A}$, perhaps a little dangerous facing a likely three-card raise but partner was allowed to hold a top spade, which would have not only reduced the number of trump losers but also provided a dummy entry to take a heart finesse. Five Spades was, of course, doubled to complete the auction.
Bruijnsteen led the king of diamonds, ruffed. Zareba led a club up, Bruijnsteen winning the ace and returning a club to dummy's king when a second diamond might have been more effective. Zareba won the club and led a spade when again, perhaps a heart finesse would have been a better choice. Not to worry, as the queen of spades lost to the king and again East failed to play a diamond to force declarer. Instead East played a
club and West ruffed the queen with the ace of spades and returned a heart. That did declarer no harm at all and Zareba put in the nine and, when that held, led a spade. Bruijnsteen won the A J and returned a heart and that picked up the suit for declarer who had escaped for down two, the same result as Deep Finesse suggests for double dummy play from both sides. Our declarer had, however, flirted with a much larger penalty had the defence been more challenging. Minus 500 was worth 8 MPs to N/S.

Board 14. Dealer East. None Vul.
A J 963

- Q 9542
$\diamond$ Q 97
$\circ \quad Q$

| - A 108 |  | N | A K72 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\checkmark$ A J 10 |  |  | ¢ 63 |
| $\diamond$ A 62 |  | W E | $\diamond$ K 854 |
| \& AJ 75 |  | S | \& K643 |
|  | 4 | Q 54 |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ | K 87 |  |
|  | $\diamond$ | J 103 |  |
|  | 4 | 10982 |  |


| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Wackwitz | Zareba | Bruijnsteen Ciunczyk |  |
| - | - | Pass | Pass |
| $1 \propto$ | $2 \diamond$ | Dble | Pass |
| Pass | $2 \circlearrowleft$ | Dble | Pass |
| 2NT | Pass | 3NT | All Pass |

Zareba's $2 \diamond$ showed the majors but did not greatly inconvenience her opponents. She led the four of hearts against 3NT, the king losing to the ace. Wackwitz crossed to the king of clubs and the appearance of the queen changed her plans as she no longer had a club finesse to worry about. Instead, Wackwitz led the four of diamonds and ducked it round to Zareba's seven. The hearts could have been established now, of course, but Zareba was hooked on the idea that declarer might hold the jack without the ten, in which case it would be better if the next heart lead came from her partner. She therefore returned a low spade to the queen and ace. Wackwitz immediately played the $\because J$ back at her and Zareba won and led a second spade. Wackwitz ran that to her eight and had 11 tricks for +460 and 15 MPs.

Board 15. Dealer South. N/S Vul.
A AKJ763
$\odot \quad$ Q
$\diamond \mathrm{K}$
\& K Q 952


| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Ciunczyk | Banas | Zareba | Ticha |
| - | - | - | Pass |
| Pass | $1 \uparrow$ | $2 \diamond$ | Pass |
| Pass | $3 \%$ | Pass | $5 \%$ |

All Pass
Natalia Banas and Magdalena Ticha had a simple auction to $5 \%$ and Zareba's trump lead allowed Banas to ruff out the spades and get rid of all of dummy's hearts on the spade winners, losing just one diamond trick so scoring +620 and 15 MPs .

Board 16. Dealer West. E/W Vul.

$$
\text { A K } 107
$$

$\bigcirc 102$
$\diamond$ K 10753
\& 875

| $\diamond$ | Q 9842 |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\diamond$ | K 4 |
| $\diamond$ | A Q 42 |
| \& J 6 |  |

\& J 6


| $\wedge$ | $J 6$ |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | Q |

© QJ876
$\diamond$ J 86
\& K 94
A A5 3
© A953
$\diamond 9$
\& A Q 1032

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Ciunczyk | Banas | Zareba | Ticha |
| 14 | Pass | 1NT | $2 \boldsymbol{\%}$ |

All Pass

There was surprisingly little bidding on this deal as first West and then East judged not to compete over $2 \boldsymbol{4}$, no doubt because of the vulnerability. They were right to go quietly, as $\mathrm{E} / \mathrm{W}$ cannot even make seven tricks in anything.
Against 2\&, Ciunczyk led the king of hearts and, when that was ducked, continued with her remaining heart to the jack and ace. Ticha led the nine of
diamonds, Ciunczyk going in with the ace and trying a low spade to the jack and ace (the 9 is a better switch as it traps dummy's ten on this layout). Ticha led a low heart, Ciunczyk ruffing in front of dummy with the jack and returning her remaining trump. Ticha could ruff her fourth heart and pick up trumps without further loss, coming to 10 tricks for +130 and 9 MPs each way (there were E/W pairs going two down vulnerable at other tables).

Board 17. Dealer North. None Vul.

- A 3
$\checkmark 1042$
$\diamond$ A Q 7
\& A 10642

| 4 9 - |  |  | N | a | Q 1082 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\bigcirc$ | K Q J 853 |  |  | $\stackrel{\nu}{ }$ | A 6 |
| $\diamond$ K 8 |  |  | W E | $\diamond$ | J 6543 |
| \% | K 853 |  | S | 4 | Q J |
|  |  | 4 | KJ7654 |  |  |
|  |  | $\checkmark$ | 97 |  |  |
|  |  | $\diamond$ | 1092 |  |  |
|  |  | 4 | 97 |  |  |


| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Banas | Kampermann Ticha | Damman |  |
| - | 10 | Pass | Pass |
| 10 | $2 \boldsymbol{0}$ | Pass | $2 \boldsymbol{1}$ |
| 30 | All Pass |  |  |

Germany's Mareille Kampermann opened $1 \%$ and repeated the suit at her next turn after a surprising pass from Alexandra Damman. However, Damman made up for her previous pass by bidding $2 \boldsymbol{A}$ over $2 \%$ and that pushed Banas up to 30 .
Three Hearts can always be made but will often fail on a trump lead, when it looks as though declarer has to win in hand and play on diamonds to set up the jack as her ninth trick while there is still an entry in dummy. However, Kampermann made the normal enough lead on the auction of ace and another spade, Banas ruffing out the jack. Banas now played two rounds of trumps ending in dummy followed by the queen of spades. That would be winning play whenever the last trump was in the South hand but on the actual layout it should have failed. In practice, South covered so Banas could ruff high, draw the missing trump and play on clubs to get to the established $\boldsymbol{\$ 1 0}$. But if South does not cover the spade declarer appears to be helpless. Once again, the winning line was to play diamonds from hand at trick three - not easy, though on this auction South's pass over 1\% seems to mark North with both diamond honours.
Plus 140 scored 16 MPs for Banas/Ticha.

Board 18. Dealer East. N/S Vul.

- AJ842
$\checkmark$ Q 3
$\diamond 96$
\& Q 632

| 4 53 |  | N | A 1076 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\checkmark$ AJ765 |  |  | $\bigcirc 8$ |
| $\diamond 10873$ |  | W E | $\diamond$ Q J 5 |
| \& 75 |  | S | \& AK 10984 |
|  | 4 | K Q 9 |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ | K 10942 |  |
|  | $\diamond$ | AK 42 |  |
|  | 0 |  |  |


| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Banas | Kampermann Ticha | Damman |  |
| - | - | $3 \&$ | $3 \circlearrowleft$ |
| Pass | $4 \odot$ | All Pass |  |

The pre-empt did its work when Damman viewed to overcall, getting the fifth heart into the action, rather than make a take-out double. Kampermann might have bid 3 over $3 \triangle$, but the raise was hardly a serious error.
Four Hearts was hopeless. Banas led the five of clubs, Polish style, to the king, and Ticha switched to the queen of diamonds. Damman won the ace and cashed the king then led the two of hearts to the five, queen and eight. She continued with a spade to her king followed by a diamond ruff with the three. A club ruff was followed by a low heart from hand but the five-one split meant that the contract drifted two off for -200 and a complete bottom for $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{S}$.

Board 19. Dealer South. E/W Vul.
A A9643
$\checkmark$ -
$\diamond 9854$

- A Q 102


| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Damman | Combescure | KampermannThepaut-V |  |

Even vulnerable, it hardly looks a crime to make a pre-emptive heart raise when holding five-card
support for partner's overcall, does it? Perhaps not, but it worked out terribly for the Germans as Sarah Combescure made a take-out double of $3 \bigcirc$ and Solene Thepaut-Ventos left it in. Well done to N/S.

Combescure led a diamond and declarer had little option but to run it to her queen. No, Thepaut-Ventos had the king and won it then switched to a low club. The French N/S pair took two club tricks and there were two hearts and the ace of spades to come for down two; -500 and just 1 MP to E/W.

Board 20. Dealer West. All Vul.
A A Q J 1052
$\checkmark 10$
$\diamond$ A Q 1054
\& 4


| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Damman | Combescure | KampermannThepaut-V |  |
| Pass | $1 \diamond$ | Pass | $2 \diamond$ |
| Pass | $3 \diamond$ | Pass | $3 N T$ |
| Pass | $4 \diamond$ | Pass | $4 \diamond$ |
| Pass | $4 N T$ | Pass | $5 \diamond$ |
| Pass | $6 \diamond$ | All Pass |  |

The session ended with a smoothly bid slam for N/S. I guess I would have made a splinter raise of the $2 \diamond$ response but Combescure's slower approach meant that she knew a little more about her partner's hand and, when she got a heart cuebid out of ThepautVentos she was off to the races, using RKCB then bidding the small slam.
Damman led a club so Kampermann won the ace but that was that for the defence. Kampermann actually switched to a spade in the faint hope that partner might be ruffing, but declarer would surely have played ace then ruffed a spade on a less helpful return and would have found the good news soon enough.
Plus 1370 scored only 7 MPs for N/S as a non-club lead permits declarer to claim an overtrick.

Of the pairs we have watched in this session, Wackwitz/Bruijnsteen ended it in second place, just ahead of Banas/Ticha, with Thepaut-Ventos/ Combescure in fourth and Brede/Budzynska fifth. The other two pairs were well down the field and not in contention, though there was still half the event to go so anything could happen.

## DUTCH DIARY 3

## by Kees Tammens

## A Dutck duniow <br>  vitihin a Championshit

Every final is special. In the words of Wubbo de Boer, himself a Junior world champion(1987) and winner of the Bermuda Bowl (1993), and since December 2009 with Agnes Snellers the inspiring captains - together they won the prestigious Cavendish Teams in 2015 - of the Dutch juniors: "Remember that your time as a junior is the best period of any bridge player. Enjoy yourselves!". The first session of the European Pairs Championship was like a Dutch event with six (of originally eight) Junior pairs competing against each other.

Board 1. Dealer North. None Vul.

|  | $\stackrel{\bigcirc}{\diamond}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { K } 642 \\ & \text { A } 1062 \\ & 1062 \\ & 82 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ه 97 |  | N | 4 | A |
| $\checkmark$ K J |  | W E | $\checkmark$ | 874 |
| $\diamond$ KJ853 |  |  | $\diamond$ | Q 7 |
| \& A963 |  | S | 4 | KQJ 10754 |
|  | 9 | Q J 108 | 3 |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ | Q 953 |  |  |
|  | $\diamond$ | A 94 |  |  |
|  | $\%$ | - |  |  |


| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Luc | Kevin | Veri | Yordi |
| - | Pass | $1 \propto$ | $2 \uparrow$ |
| $3 \diamond$ | $4 \uparrow$ | $5 \uparrow$ | Pass |
| $? ?$ |  |  |  |

This one was too difficult for West, Luc Tijssen, who bid one more club and saw the defenders take two red aces. On the next board Luc tried to get even by passing $3 \circlearrowleft$, only to see partner make eleven tricks.

Board 4. Dealer West. All Vul.
A K 2
$\checkmark$ K 108
$\diamond$ Q 9876
\& 652
4. 1065

๑ 7532
$\diamond$ KJ 2
\& K 107


A A 83
$\checkmark$ QJ 964
$\diamond 10543$
\& 3

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bas | Kevin | Thijs | Yordi |
| Pass | Pass | 10 | 10 |
| $14(\mathrm{i})$ | 20 | 34 (ii) | Pass |
| 44 | Pass | 4NT | Pass |
| $5 \diamond$ | Pass | 54 | All Pass |
| (i) Fewer than four spades |  |  |  |
| (i) Six clubs and five spades |  |  |  |

Another slam decision. After a heart lead for the ace, declarer played trumps. South, Yordi Schouten, rose quickly with the ace of spades and played his singleton club, getting a ruff after partner won the © K for down one. Slam bidding proved not to be a success at this point.


RESULTS
GROUP PHOTO

Board 8. Dealer West. None Vul.


| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tobias | Veri | Tom | Luc |
| Pass | 1\% | Pass | 14 |
| 20 | 2NT | Pass | 30 |
| Pass | 4* | Pass | 5 |
| Pass | 64 | All Pass |  |

Five Spades was obviously asking for a heart control. The suggestion was that after 4 also $5 \diamond$ was a possibility so North could bid $5 \circlearrowleft$ to establish first round heart control, after which South could proceed with 5NT.

Captain Agnes was quick to make a bet that more than half of the field would reach 79. She won of course and received her cappuccino.

Board 9. Dealer North. E/W Vul.


A small heart went to the nine and declarer, Thijs Verbeek, started with $\diamond \mathrm{Q}$, which won the trick. On the $\diamond \mathrm{K}$, East discarded $\uparrow 6$, and on the next diamond to the ace East threw a club. A heart was ducked to East's jack and he played back a club. West, not convinced that East held a club honour, played the ten. Declarer won the jack and was in control. He led the 8 to the ten and jack and got two more tricks in hearts for a delicate +120 .

So after ten boards the Dutch championship ended in:

| 1. Pim/Bob | (12th 50.68\%) |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2. Kevin/Yordi | (13th 49.92\%) |
| 3. Thijs/Bas | (18th 45.71\%) |
| 4. Veri/Luc | (19th 44.15\%) |
| 5. Chris/Ricardo | (20th 43.61\%) |
| 6. Tom/Tobias | (23rd 41.63\&) |

As you can see in the parenthesis, in real life, in the European championship the Dutch juniors had still a lot of work to do to get a medal.

## DUTCH DIARY 4

## by Kees Tammens

## ปunior Paivs Final Session 2

The Dutch Junior pairs, and the U21 pairs even worse, (the best ranking is 19th out of 26, though the Dutch still had two Women's pairs in contention in their championship) made a poor start in the first ten boards but there still were many more boards to play, but, of course, they needed some good scores.

Board 14. Dealer East. None Vul.
A J 9
© 10953
$\diamond 1093$
\& K Q 103
A A Q 52
© AK 76
$\diamond$ K 87
\& 87


ค 763
© QJ82
$\diamond$ Q 6
\& 9652

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Asulin | Kiljan | Levi | Tijssen |
| - | - | $1 \diamond$ | Pass |
| 10 | Pass | 14 | Pass |
| 20 | Dble | Pass(i) | Pass |
| 30 | Pass | 4\% | Pass |
| $4 \diamond$ | Pass | 45 | Pass |
| 4NT | Pass | 5\%(ii) | Pass |
| 64 | All Pass |  |  |

(I) Club stopper
(ii) Three key cards

When the Israeli girls bid and made (a diamond went on $\% \mathrm{~J}$, so the diamond finesse was not needed) this razor-blade sharp 64, they almost ruined the chances of the Dutch pair that had played so well in the first two days. So, after 20 boards, Chris\&Ricardo Westerbeek (6th) and Kevin Gotink/Yordi Schouten (8th) seemed to be the Dutch horses the supporters from the Netherlands were rooting for. Of course, there were still 30 boards and many more matchpoints to negotiate. Let's wait and see what happens. This seems to be the last board I write for this year's bulletin; the editors want the final bulletin to be ready by the prize giving. However I want to congratulate the LBF and especially Karlis Rubins, the organiser on site, for a very well arranged tournament. Hope to meet and greet you all in future Championships.

## ALL THE PRESIDENT'S MEN

by Mark Horton

All the President's Men is an American political thriller based on the book of the same name by Carl Bernstein and Bob Woodward, the two journalists investigating the Watergate scandal for The Washington Post.
The job of a bridge reporter is to investigate the facts and attempt to reach the right conclusion - sometimes with very little information to go on.
Here are the deals that caught my eye in today's first session:

Board 1. Dealer North. None Vul.
A K642
$\checkmark$ A 1062
$\diamond 1062$
\& 82

| ¢ 97 |  | N | 4 | A |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\bigcirc$ K J |  |  | $\checkmark$ | 874 |
| $\diamond$ KJ853 |  | W E | $\diamond$ | Q 7 |
| \& A963 |  | S | 4 | K Q 10754 |
|  | 9 | Q J 108 | 3 |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ | Q 953 |  |  |
|  |  | A 94 |  |  |


| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Buus Th. | Weiss | Jepsen | Velja |
| - | $20^{*}$ | $3 \boldsymbol{\%}$ | $4 \boldsymbol{\$}$ |
| $5 \boldsymbol{4}$ | Pass | Pass | $5 \boldsymbol{\$}$ |

Dble All Pass
$2 \checkmark$ Weak, both majors
North's gadget came in handy, although the spade fit was always going to come to light. It was South who took the key decision to save over 5 - a contract that was likely to make.
Declarer had to lose a spade, a heart and two diamonds for two down, -300 and.13-11 for N/S.


Board 2. Dealer East. N/S Vul.
A 96
$\checkmark$ A 103
$\diamond 85$
\& Q 109743


| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Buus Th. | Weiss | Jepsen | Velja |
| - | - | $1 \circlearrowleft$ | Pass |
| 1ヵ | Pass | $2 \diamond$ | Pass |
| 2NT | Pass | $3 \uparrow$ | Pass |
| 4\&* | Pass | $4 \uparrow$ | All Pass |

With time at a premium I won't enter into a long discussion about the inferences one should draw from East's first three bids, but you need to consider the difference between this type of sequence as opposed to a direct raise to $2 \boldsymbol{A}$.
North found the imaginative lead of the three of hearts and declarer put in dummy's nine and won in hand with the queen. The ace of clubs, pitching a heart, was followed by a club ruff, heart ruff and a third club ruff. Declarer cashed the ace and king of diamonds and ruffed a heart. She played her last club and when North covered with the queen ruffed with dummy's ten. South over-ruffed with the queen and had only to cash the queen of diamonds and play a fourth round of the suit to ensure two more trump tricks for the defenders. Alas, South miscalculated and played the nine of diamonds. North could ruff, but now the ace of spades was the only trick due to the defence; +420 and 7-17.

Have you spotted the winning line?
Instead of ruffing the fourth club, declarer must pitch a diamond from dummy. If South ruffs, cashes a diamond and plays another one, declarer will be able to ruff with dummy's ten and lead towards the $\mathbf{~} \mathrm{K}$-J.
With open cards you can take eleven tricks after a heart lead, ruffing two clubs in dummy and setting up the heart suit via two ruffs before playing a low spade to the ten.

However, if North starts with a diamond, then declarer has to play carefully to score ten tricks. One winning line is to win in dummy and play a heart to the queen and ace. Declarer wins the diamond return in dummy, pitches a diamond on a heart and plays a spade to the jack. When that holds declarer ruffs a club, pitches a club on the jack of hearts and plays a spade.

Board 3. Dealer South. N/S Vul.
A K 98

- A7
$\diamond$ A Q 10
\& A 10943
↔ Q J 54
- 10952
$\diamond$ K 8
\& J 87

- 732

A A 106
$\checkmark$ K 6
$\diamond$ J 95
\& K Q 652

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Toledano | Jepsen | Yekutieli | Buus Th. |
| - | - | - | $1 \boldsymbol{6}$ |
| Pass | $2 \boldsymbol{6} *$ | Pass | $2 N T$ |
| Pass | 3NT | All Pass |  |

2\% Inverted raise

Board 4. Dealer West. All Vul.
© K 2
$\checkmark$ K 108
$\diamond$ Q 9876
\& 652
A 1065
$\checkmark 7532$
$\diamond$ K J 2
\& K 107


Q J 974
$\checkmark$ A
$\diamond A$
\& A Q J 984
A A83
Q QJ 964
$\diamond 10543$
of 3

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Toledano | Jepsen | Yekutieli | Buus Th. |
| Pass | Pass | $1 \boldsymbol{6}$ | $1 \varnothing$ |
| Pass | $2 \varnothing$ | $2 \boldsymbol{\omega}$ | Pass |
| $4 \boldsymbol{\%}$ | Pass | $4 \diamond^{*}$ | Pass |
| $6 \boldsymbol{6}$ | All Pass |  |  |

If I were a betting man I would suggest that West did not have muesli for breakfast.
A quiet $3 \%$ would have seen East bid spades for a second time, after which $4 \uparrow$ would be reached. Six Clubs was one down for -100 , giving NS 22-2.

West led the queen of spades, so declarer was not hard pressed to take all the tricks for +520 and 8-16.
The mirror distribution means that the fate of any slam depends on the location of the king of diamonds, but if North were 2-3-3-5 6\% would be laydown. With such wonderful controls and five-card support, North had time in hand and could have bid 3\% over 2NT.

Five pairs reached a slam, the top going to the two who scored +990 .

Board 5 Dealer North. N/S Vul.

- K J 10
© J 76
$\diamond$ K 75
\& 8732


| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Birchall | Yekutieli | Roper | Toledano |
| - | Pass | 1NT | 2.* |
| Dble** | Pass | $4 \Omega$ | All Pass |

## 24 Spades and a minor Dble Take-out

South led the two of diamonds and declarer took North's king with the ace and played the jack of clubs. When that held he drew trumps, South pitching two spades, and played the four of clubs. South won and switched to ace of spades and a spade, North winning


OREN TOLEDANO, Israel
and switching to a diamond; two down for -100 and 6-18.
If $N / S$ are to have any chance of competing further then North has to take some action over West's double. You can think about what meanings to ascribe to a redouble, a raise to 3 and 2 NT . It's hard to predict if any of them would have seen $N / S$ reach $4 \boldsymbol{\uparrow}$, which is cold as the cards lie, but two pairs managed to get to the spade game.

Board 8. Dealer West. None Vul.

- KQ95
© AK5
$\diamond$ Q 932
\& Q 4


| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Stokka | Roper | Hult | Birchal |
| Pass | 1 NT | Pass | 20* |
| Dble | 34* | Pass | $5 \diamond^{*}$ |
| Pass | 60\%* | Pass | 6仓* |
| Pass | 60* | Pass | 6 |
| Pass | 74 | All Pa |  |

## $2 \circlearrowleft$ Transfer

3~ Four-card spade support, maximum
$5 \diamond$ Exclusion Blackwood
$6 \%$ Two key cards + Q
$6 \diamond$ Asking about kings
$6 \triangleright$ ワK
When South bid 6a North drew the inference that his queen of clubs must be of vital importance and went on to the cold grand slam; +1510 .
Seven pairs bid the grand slam and one of them got doubled, so N/S collected 17-7 on the way to compiling a $60.83 \%$ session - good enough for second place behind the magnificent $76.32 \%$ posted by Poland's Maciej Kita \& Maciej Grabiec.

|  |  | U26 PAIRS |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | - FINNAL RANNKING FINAL A

## U21 PAIRS - FINAL RANKING FINAL A

| 1 | ZVEZDIN Zigfrid | POSTOVALOV llia | RUS - RUS | 58.80 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | MAJEWSKI Konrad | GODLEWSKI Piotr | POL - POL | 58.10 |
| 3 | ALTER Florian | STRECK Lauritz | GER - GER | 58.10 |
| 4 | KRAWCZYK Blazej | LENKIEWICZ Jakub | POL - POL | 54.46 |
| 5 | BELLCAUD Luc | BASLER Raphael | FRA - FRA | 53.11 |
| 6 | NIJSSEN Oscar | OVERVELDE Sven | NED - NED | 52.61 |
| 7 | STOUGIE Leen | STOUGIE Marc | NED - NED | 52.52 |
| 8 | KOIVU Oskari | AALTO Aleksi | FIN - FIN | 51.94 |
| 9 | BUNE Soren | TODD-MOIR Victor | DEN - DEN | 51.72 |
| 10 | PATREUHA Jakub | PATREUHA Patryk | POL - POL | 51.50 |
| 11 | CLARK Jonathan | PANCHAGNULA Kripa | ENG - ENG | 51.19 |
| 12 | BOULIN Arthur | GUILLEMIN Theo | FRA - FRA | 51.15 |
| 13 | NORTON Ben | BEHRENS Sam | ENG - ENG | 50.67 |
| 14 | SUCHODOLSKI Adam | ZURAWSKI Tytus | POL - POL | 50.06 |
| 15 | KLEMS Erik | MELCAK Martin | CZE - CZE | 49.27 |
| 16 | VORNKAHL Leonard | JESSE Stig | GER - GER | 48.77 |
| 17 | ANDRUSZKIEWICZ Jakub | SUCHARDA Edward | POL - POL | 48.35 |
| 18 | BUNE Sophie | CHRISTENSEN Malene Holm | DEN - DEN | 47.93 |
| 19 | SLUSZNIAK Mateusz | KEDZIERSKI Maciej | POL - POL | 47.75 |
| 20 | FRAGOLA Maxence | TEIL Clement | FRA - FRA | 47.17 |
| 21 | OKUNIEWSKI Wojciech | KRAJNIK Dominik | POL - POL | 46.21 |
| 22 | IHER Mirjam | MAIDE Rasmus | EST - EST | 45.87 |
| 23 | LEJEUNE Mette | HUVERS Wisse | NED - NED | 45.19 |
| 24 | LE LEZ Victor | THORPE Stephan | FRA - FRA | 43.64 |
| 25 | KALETA Michal | PAWELCZYK Tomasz | POL - POL | 41.78 |
| 26 | BALODIS Martins | SALMINA Sintija | LAT - LAT | 41.56 |


| 1 | MOSZCZYNSKA Zuzanna |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2 | TICHA Magdalena |
| 3 | WACKWITZ Janneke |
| 4 | MADUZIA Anna |
| 5 | BUDZYNSKA Magda |
| 6 | THEPAUT-VENTOS Solene |
| 7 | LE PENSEC Alice |
| 8 | LELEU Anais |
| 9 | MYLLAERI Maria |
| 10 | WISEMAN Yvonne |
| 11 | VISSER Esther |
| 12 | RAFFA Enrica |
| 13 | DE LUTIO Caterina |
| 14 | PIIBOR Johanna |
| 15 | KAMPERMANN Mareille |
| 16 | GARKAJE Ginta |
| 17 | CIUNCZYK Hanna |
| 18 | RIEGER Mona |
| 19 | BAILEY Olivia |
| 20 | BROKA Sandra Elvira |


| PIESIEWICZ Dominika | POL - POL | 61.29 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| BANAS Natalia | NED - NED | 58.84 |
| BRUIJNSTEEN Merel | NED - NED | 56.82 |
| BALDYSZ Zofia | POL - POL | 56.09 |
| BREDE Joanna | POL - POL | 53.45 |
| COMBESCURE Sarah | FRA - FRA | 53.37 |
| MOURGUES Jennifer | FRA - FRA | 52.09 |
| THUILLEZ Mathilde | FRA - FRA | 51.91 |
| SIRA Sini | FIN - FIN | 51.12 |
| NEGREANU Carina | ENG - ENG | 50.37 |
| VAN OMMEN Maaike | NED - NED | 50.01 |
| BROCCOLINO Susanna | ITA - ITA | 49.60 |
| CAPOBIANCO Sophia | ITA - ITA | 49.09 |
| LAAN Susanna | EST - EST | 48.59 |
| DAMMAN Alexandra | GER - GER | 48.51 |
| KAMPARA Diana | LAT - LAT | 47.19 |
| ZAREBA Anna | POL - POL | 45.47 |
| NORDMANN Leonie | GER - GER | 40.32 |
| NESOM Suzanna | SCO - SCO | 39.11 |
| BROKA Jolanta Gundega | LAT - LAT | 36.91 |

## U16 PAIRS - RANKING AFIER SESSION 10

| 1 | KOPKA Kacper |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2 | PIGULSKI Adam |
| 3 | CICHY Krzysztof |
| 4 | ALTUN Toygar Tuncay |
| 5 | MADDEN Harry |
| 6 | HUHTAMAKI Hermanni |
| 7 | STADLER Raphael |
| 8 | JOHNSEN Christian Fredrik |
| 9 | MAZUR Jeremiasz |
| 10 | GUENDEL Marius |
| 11 | KORDAS Konstanty |
| 12 | KOZAK Jakub |
| 13 | ROSE Henry |
| 14 | STASIK Michal |
| 15 | KURLIT Franciszek |
| 16 | DYBDAHL Sanna Emilie Dybvik |
| 17 | FARWIG Niels Ole |
| 18 | YASAR Isil |
| 19 | KEISALA Arttu |
| 20 | RUBINS Ralfs |
| 21 | ZATORSKI JAKUB |
| 22 | GROCHOWSKI Albert |
| 23 | PUSKARJOVS Aleksandrs |

## MASZENDA Michal RACEWICZ MACIEJ

 CAUS DominikEREN Umut Gorkem SELBY Oscar NIEMINEN Aapo LEPPER Jannik AUSTAD Marius Dalemark KUCZKOWSKI Michal
DRANSFELD Karl MACKOWIAK Karol CIESLINSKI Jan PEMBERTON Alexander KASPERCZYK Lukasz KUFLOWSKI Kacper BREKKE Kaja LANGER Emil BAKAR Umran Zeynep MAKELA Lasse PIRS Maksimilians DZIUBA JAKUB CIESLIK Joanna GOROVOJS Adrians

| POL - POL | 59.77 |
| :--- | ---: |
| POL - POL | 59.09 |
| POL - POL | 58.26 |
| TUR - TUR | 58.09 |
| ENG - ENG | 57.57 |
| FIN - FIN | 57.39 |
| AUT - AUT | 56.15 |
| NOR - NOR | 55.55 |
| POL - POL | 54.70 |
| GER - GER | 52.39 |
| POL - POL | 52.38 |
| POL - POL | 52.29 |
| ENG - ENG | 50.55 |
| POL - POL | 48.90 |
| POL - POL | 48.09 |
| NOR - NOR | 47.57 |
| GER - GER | 47.39 |
| TUR - TUR | 46.52 |
| FIN - FIN | 41.22 |
| LAT - LAT | 39.77 |
| POL - POL | 38.32 |
| POL - POL | 37.15 |
| LAT - LAT | 30.90 |

## PRESIDENT'S CUP

| 1 | SHAH Shivam | ILLINGWORTH Frederick | ENG - ENG | 63.63 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | NAKAMARU-PINDER Jun | ZALITIS Aleksis | SCO-EST | 60.38 |  |
| 3 | SPRINKHUIZEN Thibo | MENDES DE LEON Guy | NED - NED | 59.75 |  |
| 4 | SCHANKA Martin | KOHUTOVA Lucie | CZE - CZE | 59.37 |  |
| 5 | COENEN Joost | LUCASSEN Adriaan | NED - NED | 59.29 |  |
| 6 | CALMANOVICI Alessandro | SAU Roberto | ITA - ITA | 58.47 |  |
| 7 | AYDOGDU Erkmen | AYDOGDU Ataman | TUR - TUR | 57.56 |  |
| 8 | GIUBILO Gabriele | ROSALBA Andrea | ITA - ITA | 57.22 |  |
| 9 | VALENTINE Ronan Richard James | MACKILLOP Donald | SCO - SCO | 56.62 |  |
| 10 | VASAR Martin | ZVOROVSKI Rao | EST - EST | 56.35 |  |
| 11 | SCATA Sebastiano | MANGANELLA Andrea | ITA - ITA | 55.68 |  |
| 12 | CASPERSEN Soeren Veel | LAHRMANN Christian | DEN - DEN | 55.62 |  |
| 13 | STEFANEC Kristijan | FERENCA Matko | CRO - CRO | 55.60 |  |
| 14 | EIDE Harald | SJODAL Sofie Grasholt | NOR - NOR | 55.48 |  |
| 15 | DJILOVIC Josko | MIJIC Ante | CRO-CRO | 55.15 |  |
| 16 | BARR Stephen | DONNELLY MICHAEL | IRL - IRL | 54.94 |  |
| 17 | KOSMA Lukasz | MAKULEC Marek | POL - POL | 54.77 |  |
| 18 | BALDURSSON Heidar Arni | SIGURDSSON Logi | ISL - ISL | 54.43 |  |
| 19 | VAN OOSTEN Sibrand | PABST Philipp | GER - GER | 54.35 |  |
| 20 | TUKENMEZ Can Erdem | YILDIZ Busra Aslihan | TUR - TUR | 54.18 |  |
| 21 | DEAN Nicholas | PIMENTA Carolina | ENG - POR | 53.64 |  |
| 22 | DOYLE Nathan | BOLGER Arran | IRL - IRL | 53.64 |  |
| 23 | GOUDZWAARD Dieter | DUPONT Pim | NED - NED | 52.47 |  |
| 24 | TOMA Anca | RETZLER Rainer | ROM - ROM | 51.95 |  |
| 25 | FREIMANIS Gints | FALCONER Glen | SCO-SCO | 51.93 |  |
| 26 | ALISHAW Michael | KENNEDY Stephen | ENG - ENG | 51.92 |  |
| 27 | NORDMANN Felix | SCHIFFMANN Darius | GER - GER | 51.57 |  |
| 28 | BRASS Tommy | LEEMING India | ENG - ENG | 50.86 |  |
| 29 | COVILL Laura | MEKIE Sean | ENG - ENG | 50.72 |  |
| 30 | RAMOS Francisco | RAYNER Dominic | POR - ENG | 50.39 |  |
| 31 | BRODERSEN Jon | BRANDGAARD DANIEL | DEN - DEN | 50.18 |  |
| 32 | CANKUDIS Mikolaj | MORAWSKI Patryk | POL - POL | 50.12 |  |
| 33 | VON BUEREN Yannick | PRII Milvi | SUI - EST | 49.66 |  |
| 34 | ZAMIR Ami | EZION Amir | ISR - ISR | 49.59 |  |
| 35 | MAASIK Martin | TORV Helina | EST - EST | 49.51 |  |
| 36 | DREIMANIS Gints | GAVRILOVA Elizaveta | LAT - LAT | 49.38 |  |
| 37 | SCHEBERAN Philip | FLIERL Vanessa | AUT - AUT | 49.37 |  |
| 38 | WILSON Abigail | MILNE Jake | SCO - SCO | 49.30 |  |
| 39 | HERMANN Sophie | EDER Felix | AUT - AUT | 49.09 |  |
| 40 | LEMBER Manglus | TUUS Hanna | EST - EST | 48.89 |  |
| 41 | PROCHAZKA Adam | VOLHEJN Petr | CZE - CZE | 48.45 |  |
| 42 | CONNOLLY John | DOYLE Jason | IRL - IRL | 47.94 |  |
| 43 | CHAVARRIA Margherita | MONTANELLI Enea | ITA - ITA | 47.84 |  |
| 44 | ERSEK Laura | HOMONNAY Balint | HUN - HUN | 47.19 |  |
| 45 | BLOM Jasper | VAN DE PAVERD Tim | NED - NED | 46.87 |  |
| 46 | WEISS Christine | HOLZNER Michael | AUT - AUT | 46.21 |  |
| 47 | KONRADS Klavs | TAPINS Ernests | LAT - LAT | 45.74 |  |
| 48 | CASTRO Francisco | RIBEIRO Miguel | POR - POR | 45.61 |  |
| 49 | PRIEDITIS Gatis | JAUNSKALZE Roberts | LAT - LAT | 45.45 |  |
| 50 | KRAUZE Kristaps | KUZIS Karlis | LAT - LAT | 44.74 |  |
| 51 | VAN BEIJSTERVELDT Bas | MCDONNELL Jarleth | NED - IRL | 44.68 |  |
| 52 | WINTER Daniel | SANDERSON Liam | ENG - ENG | 44.03 |  |
| 53 | OTTO Viktor | LITTERST Maximilian | GER - GER | 43.93 |  |
| 54 | HAHN Frederik | WEBER Stefan | GER - GER | 43.22 |  |
| 55 | GUTH Romaric | RABOURDIN Hugo | FRA - FRA | 42.95 |  |
| 56 | WATTERS Roisin | VARLEY Daniel | IRL - IRL | 42.01 |  |
| 57 | FARWIG Sven Niklas | NURMBERGER Simon | GER - GER | 41.79 |  |
| 58 | ILDEIKINS Viktors | GOFMANS Andris | LAT - LAT | 41.43 |  |
| 59 | WALSH Sheila | FILIPOVIC Branimir | IRL - CRO | 40.98 |  |
| 60 | FRIDRICH Tomas | OTRADOVCOVA Zuzana | CZE - CZE | 40.92 |  |
| 61 | KANE Ariane | DOMITROVIC Andrija | IRL - CRO | 40.05 |  |
| 62 | SKURULE Karina Meta | CACE Atis | LAT - LAT | 39.18 |  |
| 63 | BRANCS Artis | KRAUJA Toms | LAT - LAT | 38.58 |  |
| 64 | CARTHY Joseph | FARRELL Conor | IRL - IRL | 37.49 |  |
| Page: | 13 | 456 | 67 | 8 9 | 17 |
|  | $\begin{array}{lll}10 & 11 & 12\end{array}$ | $13 \quad 14$ | RESULTS | GROUP PHOTO |  |

the RED SEA FESTIVAL OFFERS SPECIAL CONDITIONS FOR YOUTH PLAYERS:
A Free entry to U16s
A 50\% entry fees for other U26 players
© Free accommodation and entry fees for the winning pairs in Liepaja in the U21 and Open U26 championships for up to four nights

Israel's chairman, Gilad Ofir was in Liepaja to distribute these prizes.


$13^{\text {th }}$ European Youth Bridge Pairs Championships
Liepaja, Latvia, 13 to 20 July 2016


