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## Opening ceremony - President's speech

Mr Vilnis Vitkovskis - Vice-Deputy Mayor of Liepāja,
Ms Jelena Alfejeva - President of the Latvian Bridge Federation,
Dear Bridge Friends,
I am pleased to welcome all of you in Liepāja, players, officers, journalists, operators and guests, to participate in the 13th European Youth Bridge Pairs Championships.

It is a great pleasure to recognize the degree of participation to this championship with players coming from 26 countries.

You will compete for two days in the Mixed Series and then three days in the U26 Open, U26 Women, U21, and U16 Series.


The last day, all players who fail to qualify for the final will be able to take part in the President's Cup competition with no age, gender or nationality restriction.

After this event, one hundred twenty players have registered to the camp organised by the EBL.
I want to thank the Latvian authorities and in particular Einars Fogelis, President of the Latvian Sports Federation's Council, Uldis Sesks, Mayor of Liepāja, Vilnis Vitkovskis, Vice-Deputy Mayor, Artis Lagzdins, Chief of Liepāja City Sport Department and Zane Gaile, Manager of the Tourism Office.

I would like to congratulate the Latvian Bridge Federation, its President Jelena Alfejeva, the organising team in Liepāja led by Karlis Rubins and all the Latvian volunteers for the great job they have accomplished.

Hosting this Youth event would not have been possible without the support of the Latvian sponsors in particular, Latvijas Gaze, Akvedukts and Data Security Solutions and I express my thanks and appreciation to all of them.


These Youth Championships are your championships. They give you the opportunity to compete with players coming from many countries, to reinforce old friendships and create new ones. You share the same values, the same sporting spirit, always with a lot of fair play, respect and fun.

Enjoy your play and your stay in Liepāja.
I declare the 13th European Youth Bridge Pairs Championships officially open.

Yves Aubry
EBL President

HELP! Due to the unfortunate illness of Patrick Jourdain, who was unable to travel, we are very short-handed on the Daily Bulletin so are eager to receive good hands or stories. So please, if you or your partner (or even an opponent) does something clever, let us know. Crazy penalties also are always good fun.
You can find the bulletin office two floors up overlooking the main playing area.

## WHITE HOUSE JUNIOR INTERNATIONALS

## by Kees Tammens



In January 1993 the first international junior event took place in 's-Hertogenbosch, a beautiful city in the south of the Netherlands. In the next twelve years junior bridge players from all around the globe came to participate. In 2005 the event moved to 'bridge and chess pub 2 'e' in Amsterdam. And in 2006 came the move to Het Witte Huis, also in Amsterdam. So in 2017 it will be the $25^{\text {th }}$ consecutive year juniors can participate in this event. During all those tournaments players like Versace(II), Hackett (GB), Rohowski (GB), Brogeland (Nor), Bessis (Fr), Nyström (Swed), Wooldridge (USA), Wolpert (Can), Nowasadski (Pol), Gromow (Rus) and many others came to compete in the Netherlands and later in their career won important international titles. From the Dutch team that won the Bermuda Bowl in 2011, van Prooijende Wijs-Brink-Drijver were regular participants in the junior team events in the Netherlands. So in 2017 we salute the $25^{\text {th }}$ edition of the event.

Bridge can cause some nasty moments especially when the computer runs wild and produces freak hands. Problems from the second day of WHJI-2016 Qualification, Tuesday March 22. In WHJI all is about IMPs, so react and choose your bid like you are playing a team match.



## PROBLEM 2

Dealer West. None Vul.

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $1 \$(2+)$ | Pass | Pass | $1 \circlearrowleft ?$ |

South holds:
A 982
$\checkmark$ Q 6
$\diamond 5$
\& AKQJ865

## PROBLEM 3

Dealer South. N/S Vul.

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| - | - | - | $1 \&$ |
| $4 \diamond$ | $4 \diamond$ | $5 \diamond$ | $?$ |

South holds:

- A 9
$\checkmark$ A 5
$\diamond 3$
\& A 10986542


## PROBLEM 4

Dealer North. All Vul.

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| - | 2NT(20-21) | Pass | $?$ |

South holds:
A 83
๑ KJ 1098765
$\diamond 107$
\& 9

## Solutions

## PROBLEM 1

A J 63
$\checkmark$ K Q J 2
$\diamond 63$
\& J 965
A 10952
© 97
$\diamond$ K J 2
\& K 842


A AK 8
$\bigcirc$ -
$\diamond$ A Q 1098754
\& A 3
(an impossible pass at $3 \varsigma$ : +14 IMPs)
$5 \diamond$ : probably undoubled down two: +12 IMPs
$6 \diamond$ : (at both tables): -800 for a push!! 0 IMPs

## PROBLEM 2

- A 107
© J 32
$\diamond$ A J 1072
\& 103

| A Q J 54 |  | N | 4 | K 63 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\checkmark$ K 1085 |  |  | $\bigcirc$ | A 974 |
| $\diamond$ KQ4 |  | W E | $\diamond$ | 9863 |
| \& 97 |  | S | f | 42 |
|  | 4 | 982 |  |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ | Q 6 |  |  |
|  | $\diamond$ | 5 |  |  |
|  | 4 | A K Q J 8 |  |  |

3\%: probably ending the bidding: 0 IMPs (also 3\% at the other table)
$2 \%$ or a creative bid like $3 \circlearrowleft$ may get $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{S}$ to 3 NT : +7 IMPs

## PROBLEM 3



Dble: gets you +300 : +12 IMPs (your teammates bid and make an incredible 3NT in E/W)
$5 ৎ$ : -200 and you still win +5 IMPs

## PROBLEM 4

- A65
$\checkmark$ A 32
$\diamond$ AKQ6
of K J 10

$4 \% / 4 \diamond$ as a transfer to hearts followed by Blackwood will get you to $6 ৎ:+11$ IMPs (opponents miss this slam) passing $4 \bigcirc$ will be a push: 0 IMPs.


# A LITTLE TO THINK ABOUT 

## by Micke Melander

## From the Archives <br> Wroclaw 2013

On many occasions when you play bridge you are into counting percentages and probabilities. Let's have a look at two boards from Wroclaw 2013 where the juniors got the opportunity to shine - but failed. The first board itself created a swing in almost all matches that where played.

Board 11. Dealer South. None Vul.


| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $1 \diamond$ | $1 \bowtie$ | $2 \mathrm{NT}^{*}$ | $4 \uparrow$ |
| Pass | Pass | $6 \diamond$ | All Pass |

As West you are declarer in $6 \diamond ; 2 \mathrm{NT}$ was forcing to game with diamond support. The opening lead is the jack of hearts. You win the opening lead with the ace of hearts, then a diamond to the king and North's ace. North now continues with the ten of hearts and South follows low another time. What's your plan for finding the queen of clubs?

The second board you get to be declarer in the 'wrong' contract. Clearly $4 \circlearrowleft$ would have been a better spot to be in than this no-trump game.


The ten of clubs might be 1st or 2nd/4th. North follows with the two, encouraging. You win the trick with the king and play a heart where South plays the jack. Do you have a plan and are there any threats on the horizon?

Board 11. Dealer South. None Vul.
K Q J 742
© J 1094
$\diamond$ A 10
\& 3

- 10
$\bigcirc$ K 75
$\diamond$ KQ976
\& K 974


ค 98653
$\bigcirc 863$
$\diamond 5$
\& Q 865

| $\oplus$ | A |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\diamond$ | A Q 2 |
| $\diamond$ | J 843 |
| $\&$ | A J 102 |

The play went jack of hearts, won in dummy, a diamond to the king and North's ace. North then continued with the nine of hearts which you won. Now pull the last trump and make a note that it was located with North. On a third round of hearts you also get to see a third heart with North. Now you know that North probably has four of them (since he led the suit and didn't kick of with spades. To lead away from jack-ten-nine would most probably not be winning in the long run when he must have had a reasonable safe spade to start with) and at least five spades gives you almost a complete count of North's hand. You know about 5(6)-4-2(1), and therefore it should be automatically to lay down the ace of clubs and finesse South for the queen.

TROMSO 2015: THE FINAL ROUND

## by Brian Senior

European Youth Team Championships 2015

The Final Round Poland v Turkey (U26)

Going into the final round, Poland led the U26 Championship by 1.87 VPs from Sweden with Norway 8.48 VPs behind. A draw would therefore ensure the silver medal for the Poles, but they hadn't come this far to settle for anything less than gold. Behind Norway, England and Netherlands were waiting to pounce should the host nation falter at the last, but only the top three could still win the European title.
For this final round, I will concentrate on the match between the leaders, Poland, and Turkey, and keep my fingers crossed that the decisive action doesn't happen elsewhere. Our match started with a slam board.

Board 1. Dealer North. None Vul.

```
    ~ 9
    ` K10632
    \diamond K1087
    & J 7 3
```

- A J 6
○ 875
$\diamond$ AJ 95
\& A K 10


ค K1087432
$\bigcirc$ AQ4
$\diamond 63$
of 8

- Q 5
- J 9
$\diamond$ Q 42
\& Q 96542

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Aydin | Kazmierczak | Tukenmez | Klukowski |
| - | $2 \checkmark$ | 2 | Pass |
| 30 | Pass | 4 | Pass |
| 50 | Pass | 5NT | Pass |
| 6 | All Pass |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Jassem | Korkmaz | Nowak | Unalan |
| - | Pass | 14 | Pass |
| 24 | Pass | 2 | Pass |
| 2NT | Pass | 3 | Pass |
| 4\% | Pass | 40 | Pass |
| 49 | All Pass |  |  |

Board 3. Dealer South. E/W Vul.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\Delta & J 63 \\
\diamond & 10763 \\
\diamond & 92 \\
\& & 10652
\end{array}
$$

| A K | N | ¢ A 1072 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\bigcirc$ AK9842 |  | $\checkmark$ Q 5 |
| $\diamond$ J 104 | W E | $\diamond$ AK 873 |
| \& K Q 3 | S | \& $A 4$ |
|  | A Q9854 |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ J |  |
|  | $\diamond$ Q 65 |  |
|  | \& J 987 |  |


| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Aydin | Kazmierczak | Tukenmez | Klukowski |
| - | - | - | 24 |
| 30 | 34 | 3NT | All Pass |
| West | North | East | South |
| Jassem | Korkmaz | Nowak | Unalan |
| - | - | - | Pass |
| 10 | Pass | $2 \diamond$ | Pass |
| $3 \diamond$ | Pass | 34 | Pass |
| 3NT | Pass | 4* | Pass |
| 40 | Pass | 4NT | Pass |
| 5\% | Pass | 50 | Pass |
| 5 | Pass | $6 \diamond$ | All Pass |

On Board 1, we saw a weak two-level opening make it easier to reach a making slam on the opposing cards. Here we see the reverse effect as Michal Klukowski's two-suited opening took away any chance for the Turkish pair to have a normal exploratory auction. Aydin overcalled and Tukenmez closed proceedings with 3NT, where he made 12 tricks after a spade lead for +690 .
In the other room, there was no pre-emptive opening. The Poles quickly found the diamond fit and initially seemed to be exploring for the best game. However, Nowak always had greater things in mind and his 4\% continuation over 3NT informed Jassem that this was actually a slam exploration. When Jassem co-operated with a heart cuebid, Nowak took control and, on discovering that the queen of trumps was missing when Jassem bid 54 in response to the queen ask, settled for the small slam.
Deniz Unalan led his singleton heart. Nowak won in hand with the queen, cashed a top diamond then crossed to the king of spades to lead the jack of diamonds. When Korkmaz followed with the nine, he assumed there was no four-one split and rose with the king then conceded a diamond so that the defence could not interrupt his future plans. Winning
the return in hand, he ruffed out the hearts and had 12 tricks for +1370 and 12 IMPs to Poland. They led in the match by 14-11 and also in the overall standings. Meanwhile, England now led their match while Netherlands trailed in theirs, so England were back up to fourth. Norway also picked up 12 IMPs here to cement their third place.
Poland picked up another 8 IMPs on Board 4, where Jassem/Nowak stopped safely in $1 \mathrm{NT}+2$ while Aydin/Tukenmez were down two for -200 in a thin no trump game. It was 22-11 in favour of Poland.

Board 6. Dealer East. E/W Vul.

$$
\text { A Q } 106
$$

$\checkmark 5$
$\diamond$ Q 65
\& A Q 8632


| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Aydin | Kazmierczak | Tukenmez | Klukowski |
| - | - | Pass | $1 \diamond$ |
| 14 | 24 | Pass | 20 |
| Pass | 24 | Pass | 34 |
| Pass | $4 \%$ | All Pass |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Jassem | Korkmaz | Nowak | Unalan |
| - | - | Pass | $1 \diamond$ |
| 24 | 2NT | Pass | $3 \bigcirc$ |
| Pass | 3NT | All Pass |  |

Aydin made a simple overcall and Kazmierczak introduced his clubs then cuebid before raising clubs to the four level. Perhaps he would have done better to try $3 \diamond$ over $3 \%$ and now Klukowski might have bid 3 to ask for a stopper. As it was, Klukowski couldn't see what game was going to make so passed $4 \%$. He was right in a sense, as they had just passed the making game. Four Clubs made on the nose, Kazmierczak losing two spades and the king of clubs; +130 .
In the other room, Jassem made a weak jump overcall and Korkmaz bid 2NT, asking for 3\& to allow him to compete in that suit. However, with strong reversing values, Unalan made his natural rebid and now Korkmaz bid 3NT. The defence played three
rounds of spades but Jassem did not have a semblance of an entry so the king of clubs was the only other trick for the defence; +430 and 7 IMPs to Turkey, who closed to 18-22.
On Board 7, Kazmierczak, possibly affected by having just missed game on Board 6, made a very aggressive try for game and was down one in a hopeless $5 \diamond$ while Korkmaz played in partscore for +130 and 6 IMPs to Turkey, and they had the lead at 24-22.
After seven boards, Poland trailed by 22-24, Sweden led by 34-0 and Norway led by 25-0 (after six boards). Sweden led by over 5 VPs and Norway were within 5 VPs of second-placed Poland. Lower down the rankings, Netherlands were collapsing and over 30 IMPs down to Bulgaria. That meant that France, over 30 IMPs ahead against Italy, were up to fifth ahead of the Dutch. the England match was almost level.
Poland picked up a couple of minor swings to move into a narrow lead in the match and then...


| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Aydin | Kazmierczak | Tukenmez | Klukowski |
| - | - | Pass | $1 \diamond$ |
| Pass | 14 | Pass | 20 |
| Pass | 20 | Pass | 24 |
| Pass | 30 | Pass | 3NT |
| Pass | $4 \diamond$ | Pass | 45 |
| Pass | 4NT | Pass | 54 |
| Pass | 64 | All Pass |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Jassem | Korkmaz | Nowak | Unalan |
| - | - | $1 \diamond$ | 20 |
| Pass | $2 \diamond$ | Dble | 2NT |
| Pass | 3 | Pass | 3NT |
| Pass | 49 | All Pass |  |

Tukenmez passed as dealer so the Poles had the auction to themselves. Kazmierczak's second call of
$2 \checkmark$ was not FSF but, rather an artificial game force, a puppet to 2 so that he could then continue to describe his hand. When he persuaded Klukowski to show a heart control, that fit his hand perfectly and he asked for key cards then bid the cold slam for +1430 after a top diamond lead.
In the other room, Nowak opened the East hand and the Turkish N/S pair were in a totally different situation. I am sure that North's combination of bids should be a slam try - with only game in mind he would have bid 4 over 2NT - but the whole situation was unclear and Unalan was never going to bid on when looking at a minimum overcall and singleton spade. Plus 680 meant 13 IMPs to Poland, who led by 40-24.

Board 11. Dealer South. None Vul.

- 97532
$\odot$ AJ5
$\diamond A J$
\& A 105

| ¢ K Q 106 |  | N | ¢ 84 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\bigcirc 7642$ |  | W E | $\bigcirc 8$ |
| $\diamond 76$ |  |  | $\diamond$ KQ1085432 |
| \& Q 74 |  | S | -4 96 |
|  | 9 |  |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ | K Q 1093 |  |
|  | $\diamond$ |  |  |
|  |  | K J 832 |  |


| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Aydin | Kazmierczak | Tukenmez | Klukowski |
| - | - | - | 10 |
| Pass | 20 | $3 \diamond$ | 4\% |
| Pass | $4 \diamond$ | Pass | $4 \bigcirc$ |
| All Pass |  |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Jassem | Korkmaz | Nowak | Unalan |
| - | - | - | 18 |
| Pass | 26 | $4 \diamond$ | $5 \%$ |
| Pass | $5 \diamond$ | Pass | 54 |
| Dble | 60 | All Pass |  |

Both Norths started with an artificial game force and both Easts made a pre-emptive overcall. For Tukenmez, that meant a jump to $3 \diamond$, over which Klukowski could show his second suit without too much pain and, when Kazmierczak made an almost obligatory cuebid, he could sign off in $4 \odot$ to limit his hand. Klukowski won the diamond lead, drew trumps and, mindful of the bidding, played West for the club length by running the jack. He had 12 tricks now for +480 .

For Nowak, a pre-emptive overcall meant $4 \diamond$, and that made Unalan's showing of the second suit a little more stressful. However, when Korkmaz cuebid the diamond control, Unalan followed through with a spade cuebid and found himself in $6 \checkmark$.
Jassem led the king of spades. Unalan won that and drew trumps and, with 22 IMPs hanging on his next play, led a club to the ace so was down one for -50 and 11 IMPs to Poland. Though Nowak had shown out on the second heart, he surely still rated to be the shorter defender in clubs, given his leap to $4 \diamond$, but at the table you have to play them the way they feel at the time.
This double whammy had propelled Poland into a 51-24 lead. As nothing much had happened for a while in the Swedish match, Poland was also back on top of the rankings, with Sweden also losing a game swing to give the Poles a little breathing space. Elsewhere, Norway led by 28 after 14 deals, England trailed by 4 after 11, France had dropped a few but still led by 25 after 14, and Netherlands had turned things around to lead by 17 IMPs after 13 boards and move back up to fourth. That Dutch recovery was largely due to one of the most remarkable results I have ever seen at any championship on Board 10. While the Bulgarian N/S bid to 6 for a solid +1430 , their E/W pair defended $1 \circlearrowleft$ redoubled, making all 13 tricks for the score of -3120, and 17 IMPs to Netherlands!

Board 16. Dealer West. E/W Vul.
A 109
© 85
$\diamond$ Q 10642
\& A 1043
A A
$\checkmark$ A 94
$\diamond$ AJ 83
\& Q J 752


- Q 852
© K 1076
$\diamond 95$
\& K 86
A KJ7643
- QJ3 2
$\diamond$ K 9
\& 9

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Aydin | Kazmierczak | Tukenmez | Klukowski |
| 1\% | Pass | 10 | 14 |
| Dble | $2 \diamond$ | Pass | 2 |
| Pass | Pass | 3\% | 3 |
| All Pass |  |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Jassem | Korkmaz | Nowak | Unalan |
| 1\% | Pass | 18 | 24 |
| Dble | Pass | 2NT | Pass |
| 3\% | Pass | 3 | Pass |
| 3NT | All Pass |  |  |

Jassem opened the natural variety of Polish Club, showing 15+ with five or more clubs, and doubled for take-out at his next turn. Nowak now drove to game - Jassem could have been stronger than this, when game could have been very good.
Unalan led a low spade to the bare ace and Nowak led a club to his king then back to the queen and ace. The nine of spades was covered by queen and king and Unalan cleared the suit. Nowak cashed his red winners then exited with a diamond in hope of endplaying Korkmaz to lead into the club tenace at the end, but it was Unalan who won the diamond and he could claim the rest of the tricks for down three and -300 .
At the other table, Aydin opened a standard 1\% then made a support double. He was willing to defend 2 but Tukenmez competed with $3 \%$ and that pushed Klukowski up a level.

It looked as though 3 might be one too many, but Klukowski managed to bring it home. Aydin led the queen of clubs so he won the ace and led a heart to the jack and ace. Aydin cashed the ace of spades, which did Klukowski no harm, then switched back to clubs, Klukowski ruffing out the king. He led his low diamond next and Aydin did not read the position so played low and the queen scored. That gave Klukowski an entry to lead a second heart up, Tukenmez rising with the king and returning a club, which was just what Klukowski wanted. He ruffed, played queen of hearts and ruffed his last heart, ruffed a club and exited with the king of diamonds to make the king and jack of spades at the end for +140 and hold the losss on the board to 4 IMPs. Nicely played, but what were the defenders thinking about?

Poland still led by 23 IMPs after 15 boards but Sweden had overtaken them with a double game swing on Board 13 , making 4 one way and $4 \triangle$ the other, to lead their match by 43 IMPs. Norway was still safely in third while England was back ahead of Netherlands in fourth with France sixth. and then it was time for yet another twist at the top.


Board 17. Dealer North. None Vul.
A 4
© Q J 1065
$\diamond$ AJ432
\& 62

Poland gained 6 IMPs on Board 18 when Turkey went down in partscores at both tables, but the Turks got 7 back on Board 19 when they made partscores at both tables. It was fitting, at the end of a wild and exciting set, that the last board of the championship should be yet another slam.

Board 20. Dealer West. All Vul.

- 52
- J5 3
$\diamond 98732$
\& J 96

| A AKQ | N | ¢ J 109643 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\bigcirc 62$ | W E | $\bigcirc$ AK 109 |
| $\diamond$ A 5 | W E | $\diamond$ Q 10 |
| \& KQ 10742 | S | \& 3 |
| 4 | 87 |  |
| $\checkmark$ | Q 874 |  |
| $\diamond$ | K J 64 |  |
| 4 | A 85 |  |


| West | North | East <br> Aydin | Kazmierczak Tukenmez |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | South | Klukowski |
| :--- |

Aydin opened 19, three-plus cards, and the $2 \boldsymbol{1}$ response showed $9-11$ with five-plus spades and four-plus hearts. Two No Trump asked and 3a showed the sixth spade. After an exchange of cuebids Aydin checked on key cards and bid the small slam. Klukowski led a low diamond and, with no fast discard available to him, Tukmenez had no option but to run it. That passed off peacefully so he drew trumps in two rounds then played the king of clubs off the table and had 12 tricks for +1430 .
Jassem opened a three-way Polish Club, this time the strong artificial version, and his $2 \diamond$ rebid was an artificial game force. At his next turn he agreed spades and, after hearing two cuebids from Nowak, asked for key cards and bid the slam. Unalan too led a low diamond round to declarer's ten. Nowak led a club
at trick two and when Unalan ducked he had lost his ace; 13 tricks for +1460 and 1 IMP to Poland.
The match ended in a 69-36 IMP win to Poland, $16.66-3.34$ VPs. Sweden still had three boards to play and were ahead by 0.92 VPs. They would win the championship if they won their match by 49 or more IMPs, that is, did not concede more than 10 IMPs over those last three deals.
On Board 18, Sweden picked up 4 IMPs to lead by 63 , defeating 1 NT by one trick in one room and $2 \boldsymbol{1}$ by one trick in the other.
Board 19 was flat when the Swedish E/W pair went down one in 4 4 but Greece were also down in 3 $\boldsymbol{A}$. So it all came down to the last deal, with Sweden having a 14 IMP cushion.

Board 20. Dealer West. All Vul.

- 52
- J53
$\diamond 98732$
\& J 96

| ^ AK Q | N | ¢ J 109643 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\bigcirc 62$ |  | $\checkmark$ AK 109 |
| $\diamond$ A 5 | $W^{\text {L }}$ | $\diamond$ Q 10 |
| \& KQ10742 | S | - 3 |
| - | 87 |  |
| $\checkmark$ | Q 874 |  |
| $\diamond$ | K J 64 |  |
| 4 | A 85 |  |


| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gronkuist | Oikonomop. | Gullberg | Sakellaris |
| 1\% | Pass | 18 | Pass |
| 14 | Pass | 20 | Pass |
| 34 | Pass | 40 | Pass |
| 4NT | Pass | 5\% | Pass |

 $22^{\mathrm{nd}}$ SWEDISH BRIDGEFESGIVAL OREBRO, July 29 ${ }^{\text {th }}-$ August $7^{\text {th }} 2 \odot 16$

Come, Enjoy and have Fun participating in:

- The Chairman's Cup • 6 National Championships
- 30 Bronze Tournaments • 8 Silver Tournaments
- The Gold Mine - 5 Seminars for Beginners • Vugraph - Daily Bulletin • Master Points and Cash Prizes in all Tournaments

