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## TOMORROW'S BOARD A MATCH TEAMS

ANY PLAYERS IN THE OPEN, WOMEN AND SENIORS TEAMS CAN ENTER TOMORROW'SBOARD-A-MATCH TEAMS FREE OF CHARGE, BUT YOU MUST REGISTER BEFORE 21.00 H .

YOU MUST REGISTER ON THE INTERNET (WW.EUROBRIDGE.ORG) AND YOU CAN DO IT EITHER USING YOUR OWN DEVICE OR ONE OF THE COMPUTERS AVAILABLE AT THE REGISTRATION DESK FOR THAT PURPOSE.

YOU DO NOT NEED TO PLAY WITH THE SAME TEAM OR WITH THE SAME PARTNER.

PLAYERS WHO DID NOT PARTICIPATE IN THE TEAMS MUST PAY AN ENTRY FEE OF 35 Euros.

PLEASE TAKE NOTE THAT ONCE THE EVENT HAS STARTED YOU WILL NOT BE ALLOWED TO DROP OUT FROM IT.

## TIME SCHEDULE:

10.00-11.30
11.45-13.15
14.30-16.00
16.15-17.45
18.00-19.30

## NEW VIDEOS AVAILABLE



Mixed Teams -"Medal is better"


Mixed Teams - "Congratulations Sylvie \& Philippe"


Mixed Teams - "Prize-Giving in the Mixed Pairs"

"Many experts...Many Options"

"Knowing Tor Helness"

"Test your Play with Migry"


A year ago an Israeli pair, Ehud Friedlander and Inon Liran were the winners of the Open Pairs at the world championships in Sanya and some months later we posted on csbnews.org a video where they talked about ambitions to start playing bridge professionally.
Click here to watch the video
In February they won the The Top 42 Pairs event at the Slava Cup in Moscow and today we find them playing at the European Open Team Championships in the PDC team, along with another young and excellent Israeli pair Dror Padon and Bar Tarnovski, together with Dano de Falco playing with Patricia Cayne.
About Dano de Falco, Mabel Bocchi in her article: Benito Garozzo: The Myth wrote:
They can rely on the names that have helped to make Italian Bridge history: Benito Garozzo, together with Dano De Falco, Pietro Forquet, Nino Masucci, Carlo Mosca and Silvio Sbarigia.
On Saturday PDC was fifth going into the last match, and faced the Valio team that was lying third. The match ended with a PDC victory by 22 to 7 , leaving PDC in third place in the standings, while Valio dropped to 14 th.

Dealer North. All Vul


Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Friedlander | Isporski | Liran | Kovachev |
|  | Pass | Pass | 1NT | All Pass



After the lead of the queen of hearts declarer scored +120 , winning the second spade and playing the king of spades from his hand.

Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Erbil | Padon | Zobu | Tarnosusk |
|  | Pass | Pass | 1\% |
| Pass | 14 | Pass | $3 \diamond$ |
| Pass | 38 | Pass | 34 |
| Pass | 40 | All P |  |

Declarer won the $\circlearrowleft \mathrm{Q}$ lead with his $\checkmark \mathrm{A}$ and played the $\& \mathrm{~A}$ and a club, East going in with the king, which collected West's jack.
Declarer ruffed the heart return in dummy and ran the $\$ 10$, continuing with the 5 when it won the trick, for the queen, king and ace. The spade return was taken with dummy's 9 and when East pitched the five of clubs declarer ruffed a club and cashed the top diamonds, claiming the rest when the $\diamond \mathrm{Q}$ appeared, +450 and 11 IMPs .

Board 17 only generated a small swing, but featured nice play:

Dealer North. None Vul.


Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Friedlander | Isporski | Liran | Kovachev |
|  | $1 \diamond$ | Dble | $3 \diamond$ |
| $4 \diamond^{*}$ | Pass | $4 \diamond$ | All Pass |
| $4 \diamond$ Both majors |  |  |  |

South led the ten of diamonds and Isporski won the $\diamond \mathrm{J}$ with the $\diamond \mathrm{Q}$ and returned a club, Kovachev winning with the $\& \mathrm{~K}$ to return a diamond. Declarer ruffed, and continued with a spade to the K , a spade to the $\mathbf{~} \mathrm{J}$ and the A .
He pitched a diamond on the \&A and a heart on the $\% \mathrm{~J}$, ruffed a club and ran the
$\checkmark$ Q, continuing with a heart to the jack when it held. He lost a club at the end, +420 .

Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Erbil | Padon | Zobu | Tarnouski |
|  | 1 NT | Dble | Rdbl* |
| Pass | 2 R** $^{*}$ | Pass | Pass |
| Dble | All Pass |  |  |

Rdbl Relay to 2\%, scrambling
Zobu led the four of diamonds and the $\diamond \mathrm{A}$ killed the $\diamond \mathrm{K}$. North played a small club and when Zobu played the $\% 3$, after long thought declarer chose to play the $\% \mathrm{~K}$ and was rewarded when the queen appeared, saving a trick.
Declarer continued with the 10 and East won the trick with his \&J, and seeing her partner pitch the 6 , she returned a small spade. West won with the K and returned the $\bigcirc 10$ which held. West continued with the $\bigcirc 6$ to the $\odot \mathrm{J}$ which was followed by the $\uparrow \mathrm{A}$ and another $\boldsymbol{A}$. Declarer pitched a diamond and after winning with dummy's queen he ruffed a heart and played a diamond to the queen. East ruffed and could play the jack of spades. If declarer ruffed he would have to lead into the trump tenace, so he discarded, but was still three down when East cashed the ace of clubs, -500 and a gain of 2 IMPs thanks to the fine defence.

## DUPLIMATE

The Duplimates used to duplicate the championship boards in Tromso are sold out but you can pre-order a Duplimate to be used at the World Championships later on this year on the same terms, i.e. EUR 1999. Contact Jannerstens at the bridge stall in the bridge plaza, or drop a line to per@jannersten.com.


## ZACHARY AND HIS ACES

by Micke Melander

I remembered that I wrote a story from last year's World Junior Team Championships in Istanbul about the Grossack brothers representing USA. So when I saw that they were playing in the VuGraph at this Open Team Championships in round four. It was a must to see what they were up to nowadays. The match was Texan and Yanks vs Hoff.

Board 1. Dealer North. None Vul.
か 1098
$\checkmark$ K 3
$\diamond$ Q 1032
\& 10854
A AJ 3
$\bigcirc$ Q 6
$\diamond$ KJ 86
\& A 976

$\begin{array}{ll}\text { S } & \text { Q 65 } \\ \diamond & J \\ \diamond & 9754 \\ \text { \& } & \text { Q J 3 }\end{array}$

A K742
-A109875
$\diamond \mathrm{A}$
\& K 2
Open Room:

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| G.Hoff | Dinkin | Hjelmeland Tuncok |  |
|  | Pass | Pass | $1 \& 6$ |
| Pass | $1 \diamond$ | Pass | $1 \diamond$ |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

Closed Room:

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Z.Grossack | Kuamsdal | A.GrossackA Hoff |  |
|  | Pass | Pass | $1 \Omega$ |
| Dble | 1 N* $^{*}$ | Pass | $2 \Omega$ |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

Geir Hoff in the Open Room led the queen of hearts against One Heart, a contract that could hardly fail to make, especially after declarer got such a favourable opening lead, that is, provided he finessed the jack on the next round.
Tuncok rose with the king from dummy and immediately ran the eight of spades to West's jack. He then gave a second favour to declarer by cashing the ace of spades to continue with a third round of spades to declarer's king.
Tuncok cashed the ace of hearts and exited with
a small trump to East's jack who then shifted to the queen of clubs, making sure the defense got their two club tricks. But declarer could claim the last five tricks to add eight tricks to his account.

In the Closed Room Arild Hoff was declarer in the far more interesting Two Hearts contract. The defense did their best and decided to lead a diamond, declarer has to cash the ace of hearts, cross to the king of trumps and run the ten of spades to endplay West to make his contract. Would Zachary Grossack be able to find the diamond lead in the Closed Room?

No - having top honours in all the suits West had to give something away for declarer and Zachary rolled the dice and decided to underlead his ace of clubs! This gave declarer his eight trick for free for a push when he got a club trick he shouldn't have.


Then came the second board of the match. Again Zachary as West had been dealt two aces...

Board 2. Dealer East. NS Vul.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { A } & \text { J } 2 \\
\diamond & \text { Q J } 732 \\
\diamond & \text { Q } \\
\text { \& } & \text { A Q } 862
\end{array}
$$



Open Room:

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| G.Hoff | Dinkin | HjelmelandTuncok |  |
|  |  | Pass | 16 |
| Pass | $2 \Omega$ | Pass | $4 \Omega$ |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

Closed Room:

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Z. Grossack | Kvamsdal | A.GrossackA.Hoff |  |
|  |  | Pass | 104 |
| Pass | $1 \Omega$ | Pass | 20 |
| Dble | $4 \Omega$ | All Pass |  |

## NESSUN DORMA

by Mark Horton

Nessun dorma (None shall sleep) is an aria from the final act of Giacomo Puccini's opera Turandot, and is one of the best-known tenor arias in all opera. It is sung by Calaf, il principe ignoto (the unknown prince), who falls in love at first sight with the beautiful but cold Princess Turandot. However, any man who wishes to wed Turandot must first answer her three riddles; if he fails, he will be beheaded.
The aria achieved pop status after Luciano Pavarotti's 1972 recording of it was used as the theme song of BBC television's coverage of the 1990 FIFA World Cup in Italy. The concluding part of the aria is the anthem of the WBF.
Before we get down to some bridge, you might like to consider the three riddles:

What is born each night and dies at dawn?
What flickers red and warm like a flame, yet is not fire?
What is like ice yet burns?
While you are pondering those, it is not uncommon for a bridge player to spend a sleepless night as the mind reexamines the problems of the day's play. Luckily the penalty for an incorrect answer at the table does not lead to a beheading (although on occasion one's partner might take a different view).

Here is a problem from the Senior Teams:
Board 5. Dealer North. N/S Vul.
ค 97532
$\bigcirc 1098$
$\diamond 2$
\& J 1063
With that outstanding collection you see this auction:

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $1 \diamond$ | Pass | $3 \wedge^{*}$ |
| Pass | $3 N T$ | Pass | $4 \boldsymbol{\&}^{*}$ |
| Pass | $4 ゝ^{*}$ | Pass | $4 \mathrm{NT}^{*}$ |
| Pass | $5 \diamond^{*}$ | Pass | $6 \diamond$ |
| Dble | All Pass |  |  |

34 Splinter
4\% Cue bid
$4 \bigcirc$ Cue bid
4NT RKCB
$5 \diamond 1$ or 4 key cards

While you are considering your opening lead you might like to see the answers to the riddles:
"What is born each night and dies at dawn?"
"Hope!" Prince Calaf declares. Correct.
Turadot, unaffected, asks her second riddle:
"What flickers red and warm like a flame, yet is not fire?"
"Blood." Calaf is right again. This time, the princess becomes unnerved. No suitor has proceeded this far. She asks her third riddle:
"What is like ice yet burns?"
Silence falls over the crowd. A few moments later, Calaf shouts, "Turandot!"

Time to reveal the full deal:


There is a logical solution to this problem.
There is no point in leading a diamond, as any tricks partner has in that suit will not run away.
Partner did not double $4 \%$ and it is extremely unlikely that he could hold a void in clubs.
North is known to hold only one key card and has cue bid in hearts.
It is possible that North holds the $\checkmark \mathrm{K}$ and the A , but if that is the case then the ace of hearts is unlikely to run away, while the ace of spades might.

At the table West did not double and East, quite logically in my opinion, led the ten of hearts. Now after drawing two rounds of trumps declarer could play four rounds of hearts to dispose of dummy's spade.
It was a flat board, but West thought he should have doubled - 'Lightner for a spade, the first 'suit' bid by dummy'.

7th EUROPEAN OPEN BRIDGE CHAMPIONSHIPS

We remarked on Friday that we believed the youngest competitor at Tromso had finished up very nicely in the EBL Open Pairs final. Maureen Hiron very kindly interviewed her during the last session - when she and her partner SvenOlai Hoyland won the final stanza overall with a score of over 75\%.
Sofie Grisholt Sjodal is from Oslo and has been playing bridge for 5 years. Her parents and grandfather both play bridge. Sophie loves bridge because it is such an interesting game and no two hands are ever the same.
As Maureen commented, this is an adult approach: it sounds obvious and trite, but as a games inventor, I'm well aware that the majority of Sofie's age group just want fast-games with

instant decisions and they find the concept of dummy beyond them - they want to be involved all the time.
Sofie is the youngest in her bridge club - and her 43 year-old father is the second youngest - the average age is around 70. Her partner here is Sven-Olai Hoyland and he is also her coach. Sven-Olai has played for the Norwegian Open team but this is Sofie's first time in an Open European of course. However she has represented Norway Girls when aged 11 - with a 22 -year-old partner, at both European and World level. We expect to see her many more times!

Competent defence earned a surprising 83\% result in the final stanza (maybe this was because a few pairs reached 3NT by West on a low heart lead, and some stopped in partscore).

Dealer North. None Vul.


| West | North | East <br> $1 \diamond$ | South <br> Pass |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1ヵ | Pass | $1 N T$ | Pass |
| 3NT | All Pass |  |  |

Sjodal led a fourth highest low heart to Hoyland's queen, and the two came back, South following low to suggest suit preference for clubs. East tried a spade towards the queen; Sjodal took her king, cashed out the hearts leaving partner on play, and a club through the king ensured two down. Nothing brilliant - but the secret of the game is to avoid error, not get yourself in the papers on every deal. SCANDIC HOLMENKOLLER VS TEAM ORANGE WHITE
by Ram Soffer

## Teams Swiss Round 3

The first day of the Open Swiss started with the four-handed Dutch Team Orange White (Bob Drijver-Bart Nab, Danny Molenaar-Tim Verbeek) on a roll. 52:0 in the first match was followed by 27:3 over a good French team. Next up was the Norwegian team Scandic Holmenkollen which had just demolished Norway Juniors White by 43:0.
Right from the start it was one-way traffic:
Board 21. Dealer North. N/S Vul.

- 1074
$\checkmark$ K 75
$\diamond$ A632
\& Q 72

| ¢ 2 |  |  |  | A J 85 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\bigcirc$ Q 64 |  | $\mathrm{w}^{\mathrm{N}}$ E |  | J 32 |
| $\diamond$ Q J 985 |  | ${ }^{\text {w }}$ | $\diamond$ | 104 |
| \& J 1094 |  |  | 4 | A K 63 |
|  | 9 | K Q 963 |  |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$ | A 1098 |  |  |
|  | $\diamond$ |  |  |  |
|  | 0 | 85 |  |  |


| West | North | East $\quad$ South |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Eileraas | Verbeek | Baumann Molenaar |  |
|  | Pass | $1 \%$ | 14 |
| 24 | 24 | All Pass |  |

Normal auction, normal contract, and not much to write about the play. There were only two dummy entries to lead spades toward hand, so declarer eventually lost two spades tricks in addition to his three obvious losers in the side suits.

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Nab | Brekka <br>  <br>  <br> Pass | Drijver <br> Rynning | 14 |
| Pass | $2 \uparrow$ | Pass | Pass |
| 2NT | Dble | $3 \%$ | All Pass |

At this table 10 could show any 12 14 balanced. After initially passing, West balanced with 2NT asking Bob Drijver to pick a minor.
$3 \%$ was certainly a more challenging contract than $2 \boldsymbol{A}$, for both declarer and defence.

Bob took the K lead with his ace. He could see four losers from the top, so in addition to the club finesse being right he had to develop diamonds - spade ruffs would not suffice.
He started with $\diamond 4$ and South took his $\diamond \mathrm{K}$. Rynning continued diamonds hoping for a ruff, and this was the correct line of defence. After $\diamond \mathrm{A}$, a third diamond would have forced declarer to ruff high, after which he can no longer manage 9 tricks.
Brekka thought that switching to spades, forcing a ruff in dummy, would prevent declarer from enjoying the diamond suit, but he was wrong. Declarer ran $\$ \mathrm{~J}$ and $\$ 10$ (of course covering would have been no good) and then abandoned trumps to play high diamonds. The last one was ruffed by North, but it didn't help the defence any more. Declarer's nine tricks consisted of four trumps in hand, two spade ruffs, two diamonds and ©A. +110 ar both tables meant 6:0 to Team Orange White.

There followed a push at 1 NT doubled going three down, when both declarers had chances to save one undertrick, and then the Dutch gained another 2 IMPs in a partscore deal.



West passed initially, then saw his partner drive to the four-level virtually alone, and he decided that with five-card trump support his hand was not so worthless after all.
Indeed, in addition to those clubs he possessed another vital card - the apparently modest $\triangle 8$ !
South led the A , and when he failed to find the killing diamond switch, continuing spades instead, Bob Drijver played for the only distribution which would allow him to make his contract, pulled trumps ending in dummy and ran the $\checkmark 8$. When that card drew South's ace, there were two diamond discards available and +400 meant a gain of 7 IMPs.

Another overtrick made it 16:0, and then the Norwegians had a peculiar misunderstanding in a routine partscore deal which persuaded them to bid $4 \checkmark$. Molenaar doubled with KQJ of trumps and after the inevitable -500 the score became 28:0.


Team Orange White missed a chance to blank their opponents when their pair wrong-sided a 3NT contract in Board 28:

Board 28. Dealer West. N/S Vul.

- A

๑ 765
$\diamond$ Q 108653
\& A Q 10


| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Eileraas | Verbeek | Baumann Molenaar |  |
| Pass | $1 \diamond$ | Pass | $2 \boldsymbol{4} \boldsymbol{\leftrightarrow}$ |
| Pass | $2 \diamond$ | Pass | $2 \diamond$ |
| Pass | $2 N T$ | Pass | 3NT |

All Pass
The natural auction of $1 \diamond-1 \diamond-2 \diamond-3 N T$ would have given West a challenging lead. For example, if he chooses a spade North wins and sets up diamonds, after which a club switch is necessary to beat the contract by one trick.
On the other hand, the sequence dictated by the Dutch pair's system made North the declarer. East's easy heart lead netted five immediate tricks for the defense, and declarer had to lose the $\diamond \mathrm{A}$ and $\% \mathrm{~K}$ as well. Team Orange White -300.

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Nab | Brekka | Drijver | Rynning |
| Pass | $1 \diamond$ | $1 \diamond$ | Dble |
| $2 ゅ$ | $2 \diamond$ | $2 \diamond$ | $2 N T$ |
| Pass | $4 \diamond$ | Pass | $5 \diamond$ |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

Drijver's light $1 \bigcirc$ overcall complicated matters, but didn't really help his side. 2\% was an artificial raise. When South chose the slight underbid of 2NT, it seemed that the Norwegian pair was going to stop in $3 \diamond$ and show a nice profit. Yet for some reason Brekka jumped to $4 \diamond$ on the way to a poor game.
Luckily, there was no double, as Nab didn't expect much from his partner's non-vulnerable overcall. Drijver's strange lead of $\& 7$ gave North a free finesse, but he didn't have time to arrange both a heart ruff and a club discard on the $\mathbf{~ K}$. The
contract drifted down two, which was enough to put Scandic on the scoreboard with 3 IMPs.
However, this turned out to be merely a temporary relief:

Board 29. Dealer North. All Vul.

- AKQ
$\bigcirc$ J 73
$\diamond$ K 107
\& A 1092


| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Eileraas | Verbeek | Baumann Molenaar |  |
|  | $1 N T$ | Pass | $2 \boldsymbol{\&}$ |
| Pass | $2 \diamond$ | Pass | 3NT |

All Pass
Verbeek's play in 3NT on the AJ lead was pure simplicity. Early in our bridge careers we are taught to play on our longest suit and apply the rule "Eight Ever, Nine Never". The Dutchman did just that, winning $\boldsymbol{A} \mathrm{K}$ and laying down $\& \mathrm{AK}$. When the $\& \mathrm{Q}$ dropped, the rest was simple. Team Orange White +660 .

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Nab | Brekka | Drijuer | Rynning |
|  | $1 N T$ | Pass | $2 \boldsymbol{\%}$ |
| Pass | $2 \diamond$ | Pass | $3 \boldsymbol{\%}$ |
| Pass | 3NT | All Pass |  |

Brekka, on the other hand, tried to play the hand in a more expertly manner by delaying the club decision as much as possible, hoping to learn more about the distributions.
At trick two he played a small heart to the 10 , losing to West's queen. Then he played small heart to the king and led $\diamond Q$ from dummy. Drijver won two heart tricks in addition to his $\diamond \mathrm{A}$, and Brekka had to win the rest. He did gather some information, however: East started with four cards in both majors. Thus he concluded that West was likely to hold three clubs. When Bob's bare $\& \mathrm{Q}$ won, had two more tricks to cash. Down three.
The lesson from this hand: Don't forget that bridge is after all a simple game!

| go to page: | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 24 | 25 | 26 | 27 | 28 | 29 | 30 | 31 | 32 | 33 | 34 | 35 | 36 | 37 | 38 | 39 | 40 | BRACKETS |  |  |  |  |  |

With one board to go Team Orange White was leading 42:3, just short of the maximum of 20 VP . The final board had a lot of swing potential, with both sides capable of bidding and making a game.


Before looking at the actual auctions, let's imagine what happens if East passes as dealer West will open 14, North will overcall some number of hearts, and East will probably bid 4a.
It is possible that $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{S}$ will sacrifice to $5 \bigcirc$, down one. In case they choose to defend against 44, North is likely to lead his singleton diamond (in an unbid suit!), thus handing declarer his game contract on a silver platter.
This is not just theory. Out of 102 tables in which this deal was played, 4 was bid and made in 30 tables, while at five more tables $\mathrm{E} / \mathrm{W}$ made $4 \uparrow$ doubled, usually with an overtrick!

Contrast this with the actual data from our match:
$\left.\begin{array}{llll}\begin{array}{lll}\text { West } \\ \text { Eileraas }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { North } \\ \text { Verbeek }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { East } \\ \text { Baumann Molenaar } \\ \text { 3仓 }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { South }\end{array} \\ 4 \diamond & 4 \diamond & \text { All Pass }\end{array}\right]$

Both players in the East seat were attracted to an off-shape $3 \diamond$ opening. As a result, spades were never mentioned, even though West could have put the bidding back on track with a 34. response.
After North's $4 \triangle$ it was not clear whether to bid on or defend. Both E/W pairs chose the latter option, and the favourable layout allowed both Norths to score an easy +420 for a push.
Team Orange White's winning streak continued for one more round, but in the fifth round they lost by a single IMP after a poor bidding decision on the final board cost them 12 IMPs. Still, they had a nice lead of 11.77 VPs over 10CC in 2nd place.

## PLAY BRIDGE TONIGHT! <br> SOCIAL EVENING TOURNAMENT

AT HOTEL THE EDGE

START 21:30

15 BOARDS // 150 NOK PER PAIR



Cavendish Teams
Coupe Prince Albert II

Cavendish Invitational Open Pairs

Cavendish Invitational Ladies Pairs

Prize Money

Hotel Accomodation

Information and contact

- Monday October 19th and Tuesday October 20th
- Open Team 7500 Euros
- Ladies or Junior team : 3500 Euros
- Auction: no
- Auction : October 20th (18h30)
- Wednesday October 21st, to Friday 23rd
- Entry fee: 1500 Euros per pair
- Auction guarantee : 5000 Euros per pair
- Auction : Tuesday October 20th (18h30)
- Wednesday October 21st, to Friday 23rd
- Entry fee: 750 Euros per pair
- Auction guarantee : 2000 Euros per pair
- $90 \%$ of the entry fees and auctions paid back to the players and bidders.
- The Société des Bains de Mer (SBM), partner of the Monaco Bridge Fed, will propose great conditions for her prestigious hotels (Hôtel de Paris, Hôtel Hermitage), but you may find any other accomodations in Monaco as well at different rates. Tell us about your needs and we'll find solutions for you.
- Jean-Charles Allavena, President of the FMB

Email: jcaconseil@libello.com - Cell: + 33680869103

## HUNGARY GAMAX VS HOFF

by Jos Jacobs

## Open Teams Swiss, Round 3

After the two rounds, Orange White and Scandic Holmenkollen led the field, so they would have the privilege of meeting at tables 1 and 2. In 3rd and 4th place were Hungary Gamax on 35.36 V.P. and Norway's Hoff on 32.84 V.P. so they would have to go to tables 3 and 4 to play their match.

Hoff took a 1-0 lead on the first board and they extended it on the next when their EW pair found out that, together, they had a clear double in store for the opponents:
Board 22. Dealer East. E/W Vul.
A 765
$\bigcirc 542$
$\diamond 10965$
\& J 5.3


Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| G.Hoff | Winkler | EjelmelandHomonnay <br>  <br>  <br> Pass | Pass | | Pass |
| :--- |

Geir Hoff quite rightly judged that he was strong enough to defend 1NT doubled even facing a passed hand. When North, with his hopeless hand, ran to $2 \diamond$, West had even less trouble in converting the take-out double for business.

The defence started off with three rounds of spades, West ruffing South's queen and continuing $\propto \mathrm{A}$ and another. Declarer ran this to dummy's king, noting the fall of the queen. He then played $\diamond A$ and $\diamond 2$ to West's jack. West cashed his $\diamond \mathrm{K}$ and got off play with a club to declarer's jack. When Winkler then led a heart to the ten and king, he had escaped for just down two. Hoff +300 .

In the Closed Room, the Hungarians missed out on imposing a severe penalty upon their opponents:

Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lakatos | Kuamsdal | Dombi | A.Hoff |
|  |  | $1 \varnothing$ | Dble |
| Rdbl | $1 \uparrow$ | Pass | $2 \boldsymbol{1}$ |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

One wonders what a double of $2 \boldsymbol{\sim}$ would have meant, as penalty doubles are out of fashion. If East couldn't double for penalty, why couldn't West double for takeout? Answers on the back of a postcard to the bulletin office.
East led a top trump (best) and continued a diamond to West's jack. Two more rounds of trumps followed, establishing East's 9 as a winner. Declarer now played dummy's $\diamond \mathrm{A}$ and $\diamond Q$ to West's king. Reluctant to open up any rounded suit, West exited in diamonds to declarer's $\diamond 10$, giving declarer a curious discarding problem as he did not know which was his most certain loser. He threw a heart and tried a club to dummy's king and West's ace next. West, on play again, continued a club to East's queen when declarer played low from his hand. With just one more heart and the master trump still to lose, the contract was down three for +150 to Gamax but Hoff chalked up 4 more IMPs.


Two boards later, the Norwegians registered another partscore swing.

Board 24. Dealer West. None Vul.


Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| G.Hoff | Winkler | HjelmelandHomonnay |  |
| Pass | Pass | $1 \boldsymbol{4}$ | $1 \uparrow$ |
| Pass | $3 \uparrow$ | Dble | $4 \uparrow$ |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

On a low diamond lead by South, even 4\% should fail -- if North inserts the nine. Now, North's $\Delta \mathrm{K}$ will be the entry to cash the $\diamond \mathrm{Q}$ with the $\checkmark A$ still there as the entry to the South hand to cash the $\diamond \mathrm{K}$. Alternatively, if declarer draws trumps and plays hearts, South still has the $\uparrow \mathrm{A}$ as an entry to his $\diamond \mathrm{K}$ after leading a second diamond to North's queen.

In the more realistic setting at the table, $4 \%$ might very well have made so 4 could be considered a good "save" against 4\%.
$4 \uparrow$ went one off, +50 to Hoff.
Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lakatos | Kuamsdal | Dombi | A.Hoff |
| Pass | Pass | $1 \propto$ | $2 \boldsymbol{2} \boldsymbol{6}$ |
| Pass | $2 \uparrow$ | Dble | Pass |
| 2NT | 3 | All Pass |  |

The ambiguous $1 \%$ led to EW missing their big fit in the other room. They sold out to 3 $\mathbf{N}$, which could not be defeated. Another +140 and 5 more IMPs to Hoff who led 10-1 at this point.

The next board was a push but both declarers missed their chances:

Board 25. Dealer North. E/W Vul.


Open Room

| West | North | East South |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| G.Hoff | Winkler | HjelmelandHomonnay |  |
|  | Pass | 1NT Pass |  |
| 3NT | All Pass |  |  |

East's 13-15 NT range made East the declarer and South led a low spade, giving away the position in the suit. Declarer won the ten in hand, led a low spade to the nine, cashed the king and led a heart to his king, which won the trick. With four tricks in the bag, declarer, after cashing the A , might have got off play by leading a heart to North, keeping all the options open, including the possibility of less than accurate defence. However, he elected to duck a diamond to North's ten. When North returned the suit to South's queen and dummy's king, declarer could do no better than play another diamond and eventually get off play with a heart to North's queen. North now simply cashed his $\diamond J$, dummy discarding a club, so when North led a club to dummy's ace, he could score the last two heart tricks in return for one down and +50 to Gamax.

| Closed Room |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| West | North | East | South |
| Lakatos | Kvamsdal | Dombi | A.Hoff |
|  | Pass | $1 \&$ | Pass |
| $1 \diamond$ | Pass | $1 \uparrow$ | Pass |
| $2 \diamond$ | Pass | 2NT | Pass |
| 3NT | All Pass |  |  |

Against the same contract, Arild Hoff led a club and dummy's ten won. Assuming declarer is going to make four spade tricks, he would need only one more trick so the prospects were looking bright.

Declarer led a heart but when North went up with the ace, he unblocked the king. North returned the $\bigcirc 9$ to dummy's ten and at this point, declarer could do little better than cash two diamonds ending in dummy. When the queen appeared in South, he changed his plan and went on to cash the $\boldsymbol{\top} \mathrm{K}$ and play a spade to his ten and South's queen. South had an easy return by playing a club to dummy's stiff ace, and declarer's chances were gone, as he could not both cash his two spades and endplay North in diamonds for a heart return. One off, flat board.

On the next board, the Norwegians had a relatively expensive misunderstanding:

Board 26. Dealer East. All Vul.
A J 43
$\checkmark 102$
$\diamond$ A Q J 962

- 92

|  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\bigcirc$ ¢ A 865 | N | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AQ } 965 \\ & \diamond 9743 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\diamond$ K 83 | $\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{E}$ | $\diamond 104$ |
| \& Q 1087 |  | - K 5 |
|  | 4 872 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$ K Q J |  |
|  | $\diamond 75$ |  |
|  | \& A J 6 43 |  |

Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| G.Hoff | Winkler | HjelmelandHomonnay |  |
|  |  | Pass | 1\% |
| Pass | $1 \diamond$ | 14 | Pass |
| Pass | $2 \diamond$ | Pass | Pass |
| $2 \boldsymbol{N}$ | All Pass |  |  |

Though declarer might have made his contract by the relatively simple expedient of winning the $\checkmark \mathrm{K}$ lead in dummy and drawing trumps as quickly as possible, exploiting the favourable break, he managed to go down two, vulnerable, by winning the $\Omega \mathrm{A}$ and returning the suit. He was following another basic rule, namely that of setting up your side suit first. South won the $9 J$ and returned the $\diamond 10$ to the king and ace. North cashed the $\diamond \mathrm{Q}$ and continued the jack, ruffed by declarer with the queen, whilst South shed a club. Next came a trump, but North could win the jack when dummy's $\$ 10$ was called for. He continued the $\% 9$ to partner's ace, got rid of his second club on a high heart and got a club ruff next. Down two. Gamax +200.

Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lakatos | Kuamsdal | Dombi <br> A.Hoff |  |
|  |  | Pass | $1 \&$ |
| Pass | $1 \diamond$ | Pass | 1 NT |
| Pass | $2 \%$ | All Pass |  |

North intended 2\% as NF checkback on which South has to bid $2 \diamond$ but apparently, South forgot.
One down when West led a diamond and continued the suit, once given the chance to kill dummy.
Another +100 and 7 IMPs to Gamax to close the gap to just 2 IMPs.

Board 27 was flat but then came a big swing:
Board 28. Dealer West. N/S Vul.
a A
$\bigcirc 765$
$\diamond$ Q 108653
\& A Q 10
ค 10865
$\checkmark$ AJ 2
$\diamond$ A 74
\&) 854


A Q 973
$\checkmark$ Q 10984
$\diamond 2$
\& K 76
A KJ4 2
$\checkmark$ K 3
$\diamond$ K J 9
\& J 932

Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| G.Hoff | Winkler | HjelmelandHomonnay |  |
| Pass | $1 \diamond$ | Dble | 1ヵ |
| Pass | $2 \diamond$ | Pass | 3NT |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

Had West found the club lead, or even a heart lead, the contract would either have gone down three or down one. When he led a spade instead, in spite of the opponents' bidding, and continued the suit after winning his $\diamond \mathrm{A}$ on the third round of the suit (partner having discarded the $\checkmark 10$ and the $\$ 6$ after following suit with the 3 to trick one), declarer had nine tricks. Gamax +600 .

## Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lakatos | Kuamsdal | Dombi | A.Hoff |
| Pass | $1 \diamond$ | $1 \diamond$ | Dbl |
| $2 \diamond$ | $3 \diamond$ | Pass | 3NT |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

Dombi overcalled $1 \bigcirc$ to end all NS hopes. They reached 3NT nevertheless but when West led the $ワ J$, East contributed the queen to clarify the situation for his partner. So West went up with the $\diamond A$ at trick 2 and led $\triangle A$ and another for down one and 12 more IMPs to Gamax.

On the last board of the set, Hoff hit back in remarkable fashion:

Board 30. Dealer East. None Vul.
A J 52
$\checkmark$ A Q 109862
$\diamond 6$
\& Q 8
A AK943
© K 43
$\diamond$ K 74
\& 76


- Q 8

৩ J 75
$\diamond$ Q 32
\& A J 1092
Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| G.Hoff | Winkler | HjelmelandHomonnay |  |
|  |  | Pass | Pass |
| 14 | $3 \Omega$ | Dble | Pass |
| $3 \$$ | Pass | 4 | All Pass |

Hjelmeland as East wisely passed, giving his partner the chance to start exchanging useful information.
This way, EW got as high as 44 but as they had not given away much information, it was not easy for North to avoid making the worst possible lead: his singleton diamond. This ran to declarer's seven, two top trumps were drawn and then the diamond procession could begin. North could ruff whenever he liked but declarer would always pitch three losers on the diamonds. Just made, Hoff +420 .

In the other room, Dombi effectively shut out his partner rather than the opponents with his pre-empt:

Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lakatos | Kuamsdal | Dombi | A.Hoff |
|  |  | $3 \diamond$ | Pass |
| Pass | $3 \circlearrowleft$ | Pass | $4 \diamond$ |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

Over $3 \diamond$, Lakatos might have shown his spades as he had a fine diamond fit but when he passed, the opponents had an unobstructed road to their thin game. To make, it needed two finesses but who can argue with success? Apart from that, Hoff were set to gain heavily on the board even if $4 \bigcirc$ would have failed. Making the contract gave Hoff another useful $+420,13$ more IMPs to win the match $23-21$ or $10.77-9.23$ V.P.


## THE ONLY CHANCE

by Mark Horton

When the contract is 3NT, there will be numerous occasions when, as a defender, you have to decide whether to return partner's suit or try a different tack.
This is from Round 7 of the Open Teams:
Board 24. Dealer West. None.

- Q 86
$\bigcirc 92$
$\diamond$ A J 32
\& 10986


| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Olanski | Isporski | Vitas | Kovachev |
| 1\& | Pass | $3 \boldsymbol{\&}$ | Pass |
| 3NT | All Pass |  |  |

North led the six of spades and while declarer was contemplating his play to trick one South considered his options.
The rule of eleven made it clear that West held only one high spade - but which one?

When the first trick was completed by the two, ace and seven of spades (neatly concealing the three) South was ready with his next card - the queen of diamonds!

Declarer covered and North won and returned the two.
When declarer withheld dummy's ten South won with the nine and played a third diamond enabling North to cash out.
Time for me to confess that I have made a small, but important amendment to the deal which, in reality looked like this:


In practice the return of the jack of spades would be the safe way to ensure the defeat of the contract - but unlikely to merit an entry into the Bulletin!
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## OPEN TEAMS SWISS ROUND FOUR <br> by dos Jacobs

Round 4 on Saturday proved a very quiet round. All the excitement was concentrated in just one board of the set. This was the board in question, no. 4. I think it's appropriate to show the auctions from all four of our BBO matches, as they were vastly different.

Board 4. Dealer West. All Vul.
A AK7
$\checkmark$ K Q 109
$\diamond 7432$
\& J 7

| 4 --- | 4 | 1082 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\checkmark$ A 542 | $\mathrm{w}^{\mathrm{N}}$ E $\bigcirc$ | 73 |
| $\diamond$ AJ 96 | $\mathrm{w}_{\text {S }} \mathrm{E} \diamond$ | Q 85 |
| \& AK Q 108 | \& | 96543 |
| 9 | Q J 96543 |  |
| $\checkmark$ | J 86 |  |
| $\diamond$ | K 10 |  |
| 0 | 2 |  |

Noralia v. Lazer
Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Braithwaite | Dyke | Robinson Howard |  |
| $1 \propto$ | Dble | Pass | 4 |
| Dble | All Pass |  |  |

The defenders led two rounds of clubs, declarer ruffing the second and playing a trump to dummy's ace. Next came the $৩ \mathrm{~K}$, East played the three and West immediately won his ace to continue... another club. Curtains as the other losing diamond now went on the 4th heart. Noralia +990 .

## Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sueindal | Gumby | A.Ra | sen Lazer |
|  | Dble | Pass | 4 |
| Dble | Pass | 5\% | All Pass |

At the other table, Rasmussen found a more sensible bid when Sveindal, too, doubled 4థ.
Given the very favourable diamond position, declarer might even have managed to make six after a heart lead. On a spade lead, no artistry was needed as Sveindal could ruff, draw trumps and play $\diamond A$ and another to ensure his contract. When
the $\diamond \mathrm{K} 10$ both appeared, he had his overtrick after all. Noralia another +620 for a swing of 17 IMPs. This was the second instance within an hour or so of a team bidding and making a game at both tables on BBO. These 17 IMPs also were Noralia's only entry into the score sheet of the match but it was just enough for them to win the match 17-15 or the already familiar score of $10.77-9.23$ V.P.

Over now to the leaders, Team Orange White v. Blund.

For all those who might be curious about the colourful names of the three main Dutch teams: there is a clear reasoning behind it. Orange is the national colour as it is the name of the Royal House in power as the Netherlands' monarchs for just over 200 years now. Red, White and Blue (the three teams) simply are the colours of the Netherlands' national flag (and, for historical reasons, of the Luxembourg flag as well -- but that's another story).

In this match, 4 was reached, doubled and played in both rooms but with different results.

Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Hornslien | Verbeek | Hoeyem | Molenaar |
| $10 \mathbf{0}$ | Dble | Pass | $3 \boldsymbol{1}$ |
| Pass | 4 | Pass | Pass |
| Dble | All Pass |  |  |

For Blund, West led top clubs, declarer ruffing the second. A trump to the ace and the $\checkmark \mathrm{K}$, East the seven and declarer the eight. Two more trumps ending in hand and then the $\bigcirc \mathrm{J}$. When West took his ace it was all over, as one diamond would now go on dummy's last heart. Orange White +790 .


Tromsø, Norway


Bart Nab, team Orange White
Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Nab | Lund | B.Drijver | Berset |
| $1 \propto$ | Dble | Pass | $4 \uparrow$ |
| Dble | All Pass |  |  |

Less imaginative declarer play in the Closed Room but I wonder if anything would have helped here.
Second top club ruffed, three rounds of trumps and the $\because \mathrm{J}$, ducked by West. East contributed the three to show an even number. The rest was easy. West won the third heart and sat back to get his diamond tricks in the end. One down, +200 to Orange White and a juicy 14 IMPs.

No swing in the Abax-Hungary Gamax match:
Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Winkler | R.Voll | HomonnayKindsbekken |  |
| 1\& | Dbl | Pass | 4 |
| 4NT | Pass | $5 \boldsymbol{6}$ | All Pass |

Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| J.Berg | Lakatos | Austberg | Dombi |
| $1 \boldsymbol{1} \boldsymbol{\$}$ | Dble | Pass | 4 |
| Dble | Pass | $5 \uparrow$ | All Pass |

Both teams reached the proper EW contract via slightly different but equally sound routes for a well-deserved push.

As far as the auctions are concerned, they did even better than that in our 4th match:

Texan and Yanks v. Hoff
Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| G.Hoff | Dinkin | HjelmelandTuncok |  |
| 1\& | Pass | $1 \diamond$ | $1 \uparrow$ |
| Dble | $2 \uparrow$ | Pass | Pass |
| $3 \%$ | $3 \Omega$ | $4 \uparrow$ | $4 \uparrow$ |
| $5 \%$ | Pass | Pass | $5 \uparrow$ |
| Dble | All Pass |  |  |

East's $1 \diamond$ was negative. When South took the save at $5 \mathbf{A}$, West refrained from trying a slam but took the sure plus. On correct defence, 5 went two off, +500 to Hoff.

Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Z.Grossack | Kvamsdal | A.GrossackA.Hoff |  |
| $1 \&$ | Dble | Pass | $4 \uparrow$ |
| 4NT | Pass | $5 \%$ | $5 \uparrow$ |
| Dble | All Pass |  |  |

Another sensible auction by both sides, leading to the same final contract of 5 doubled. The difference (and the swing) came when West won his $\wp A$ on the first round of the suit. Down is down, but it cost Texas and Yanks 300 points or 7 IMPs.


| Go to page: | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 24 | 25 | 26 | 27 | 28 | 29 | 30 | 31 | 32 | 33 | 34 | 35 | 36 | 37 | 38 | 39 | 40 | BRACKETS |  |  |  |  |  |

TWO GREAT ENDPLAYS
by Micke Melander

One of the clear favourites to reach the semifinals of this year's edition of the Open European Senior Teams is the Swedish squad 'Take`. In round three of their qualification they were up against Walger with players in their team such as the EBL President Yves Aubry, EBL Treasurer Josef Harsanyi and IBPA President Patrick Jourdain!

On the first board of the match between Take vs Walger the following great board was played which produced two different amazing endplays.

Board 17. Dealer North. None Vul.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { s J } 942 \\
\diamond & \text { K } 876 \\
\diamond & \text { K } 9 \\
\text { \& } & \text { AK } 5
\end{array}
$$



Open Room:

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Trapp | Harsanyi | Elmroth | Mattsson |
|  | 10.* | 3 | Pass |
| 4a | All Pass |  |  |
| *Polish Club |  |  |  |

Closed Room:

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Kendrick | Aldeborg | Jourdain Karlsson |  |
|  | 1NT | 24 | Pass |
| $4 \Omega$ | All Pass |  |  |

Elmroth got to declare Four Spades in the Open Room. The treasurer of the EBL didn't find the killing lead of a diamond or the jack of clubs (to be able to defeat the contract it was vital that the defense removed one of declarers entries to dummy's on the opening lead, so declarer wouldn't be able reduce himself in trumps).


Hasanyi led the three of hearts, declarer jumped up with the ace and continued with the queen of hearts, taking a ruffing finesse and pitching a club when North didn't cover. The jack of hearts followed. Again North didn't cover and declarer's last club was discarded. Now South ruffed in and switched to a diamond, but it was too late. North won the king of diamonds and tried to get a trick with the ace of clubs, whereupon declarer ruffed, played a trump to the queen, ruffed a club and cashed the ace of spades to discover that South discarded and that he had a sure trump loser.
Elmroth then crossed to dummy with a diamond to the ace and had set up the following end-position:


North was powerless when declarer called for a diamond from dummy; if he ruffed, declarer would play his diamond loser, and if he discarded declarer would win with the queen to play an another diamond, throwing South in, and end up collecting two tricks from the spade tenace.

Against Four Hearts in the Closed Room, David Kendrick received the king of clubs led. This was fine for the defense, who still could defeat the contract. North shifted to a trump, which went to declarer's nine, who then cashed the ace of hearts and played the queen, putting North back on lead with the king. Aldeborg in North cashed the ace of clubs and didn't see the threat coming to his king of diamonds when he returned his last club to declarer's queen. Kendrick then cashed the ace of diamonds and ran all his hearts down to reach the following endplay:


Declarer's last heart squeezed North in spades and diamonds. When North kept the king of diamonds declarer could simply overtake the queen of spades with the king to claim his ten tricks for a push. North had to play back a spade earlier on to break up the squeeze for declarer.

Two really great endplays on the same board are very rare to find!



## Open Teams Swiss Qualifying match six

I'm sure that when the young Australians Justin Howard and Kieran Dyke were discussing what to name their team with their youthful Norwegian teammates Jon Sveindal and Arild Rasmussen, the conversation went something like this:
Arild: "What about Osbourne?"
Kieran: "We don't live in Melbourne and you guys don't live in Oslo, so let's try something else."
Justin: "Ozway has a ring to it, yeah?"
Arild: "It does indeed, but we need something that people can actually figure out. That's a bit too tough."
Justin: "Osoz? Ozlo"
Jon: "Those do not work for me for the same reason that Osbourne doesn't: I live in Bergen. What about Bergney?"
Kieran: "Maybe a bit too esoteric. Noralia?"
All in unison: "Perfect. Even John Carruthers can figure that one out."

These lads were in seventh place after Day 1 and faced Espen Erichsen/Erlend Skjetne and Erik Berg/Steffen Fredrik Simonsen, in eighth, just a fraction of a VP behind them.
Espen: "Shall we call ourselves Norland?"
Erlend: "Too cute. And who knows you live in England anyway? Let's stick to Ehrichsen."

The first board was a four hearts/three notrump choice with no losing decision. Both sides picked hearts for a push. After another push, this time in three notrump, came a defensive problem.

Board 23. Dealer South. Both Vul.

- AKQ 972
$\checkmark 97$
$\diamond$ AK 42
of J

| - 103 |  | ¢ J 64 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\bigcirc 42$ | $\mathrm{w}^{\mathrm{N}}$ E | $\checkmark$ AKQ 85 |
| $\diamond$ Q 75 | $\mathrm{W}_{\text {S }}$ E | $\diamond 103$ |
| \& KQ10965 |  | \& A 74 |
| A | 85 |  |
| $\checkmark$ | J 1063 |  |
| $\bigcirc$ | J 986 |  |
| 0 | 832 |  |

Open Room:

| West <br> Berg | North <br> Dyke | East <br> Simonsen Howard | South <br> Pass |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Pass | $1 \uparrow$ | $2 \Omega$ | Pass |
| $3 \%$ | $4 \uparrow$ | All Pass |  |

Closed Room:

| West | North | East | South <br> Sueindal |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Skjetne |  |  |  |

Both Norths reasoned that no auction they could produce would allow South to bid game with a little help in diamonds and a couple of spades, so they bid it themselves. Neither East defended to best advantage on the actual lie of the cards, but fortunately for both, the problem was only for a second undertrick.

Simonsen tried two high hearts and the ace of clubs and another. Dyke ruffed, drew trumps and eventually lost a diamond for -100 .

Rasmussen had the right idea, and his defence would have beaten four spades whenever it was possible to do so. He also led out two high hearts, but then continued with a third heart for the ten of spades from Sveindal. That beats the contract any time West has a trick in diamonds or clubs, as he does here. However, Skjetne discarded his club loser on that and went the same one down as at the other table.
Rasmussen deserved a better fate than the push he achieved. Had declarer held AAKQ9732 $\checkmark 97 \diamond A K 42$ - , his defence would have beaten the contract and we'd be extolling his virtues. Hey, we're doing that anyway. But maybe North would have opened that hand with two clubs. But his opponents' bidding was not Rasmussen's problem.

NORALIA won 6 IMPs when Sveindal was a bit more prudent than Berg in the bidding and avoided a poor three no trump, bid and defeated at the other table. Then it was Dyke/Howard's turn to be prudent...

Board 25. Dealer North. E/W Vul.
© AKJ
$\checkmark$ A Q J 72
$\diamond 43$
8864


Open Room:

| West | North | East $\quad$ South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Berg | Dyke | Simonsen Howard |
|  | 1NT | Pass $\quad$ 3NT |

On a low spade lead, Dyke made all 13 tricks for +520 . No one thought anything of it until the scoreup.

Closed Room:

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Sueindal | Skjetne | Rasmussen Erichsen |  |
|  | $1 N T$ | Pass | $2 \&$ |
| Pass | $2 \Omega$ | Pass | $3 \diamond$ |
| Pass | $3 N T$ | Pass | $4 N T$ |

All Pass
While it's true that South's hand is worth an upgrade to about 16 points ( 16.45 on the K\&R Evaluator), the problem with upgrading this type of hand for quantitative notrump bidding is that the defenders don't downgrade theirs. So if the North hand is worth its K\&R 15.90 points that makes a combined total of 32.35 for the North/South hands-it should be okay in four notrump, agreed? However, again according to K\&R, East is worth 9.70 points and West, 3.55. That's a total of 45.60 . Something had to give. In this case it was North/South, as the defence took four club tricks in double-quick time. Minus 50 and 11 IMPs to NORALIA, now leading 17-0.

On Board 26, both East/West pairs avoided a decent slam that might have made and might have gone one off. On Board 27, both East West pairs bid and made three clubs in a competitive auction. Then...

Board 28. Dealer West. N/S Vul.

- 842
$\bigcirc 753$
$\diamond$ A 974
of A 74


Open Room:

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Berg | Dyke | Simonsen Howard |  |
| $1 \diamond$ | Pass | $1 \diamond$ | Pass |
| $1 \diamond$ | Pass | $4 \diamond^{*}$ | Pass |
| $4 \uparrow$ | Pass | $5 \diamond$ | Pass |
| $5 \uparrow$ | All Pass |  |  |

$4 \diamond$ Splinter in support of spades
East has an interesting decision to make at his second turn. Should he assume captaincy and try to find out what partner has with a FourthSuit Forcing or an XYZ bid, or should he attempt to describe his own hand? Simonsen opted for the latter and Berg, from his hand, must have thought four spades was in danger. You'd have needed to hold a gun to Berg's head to make him do other than sign off every time he got the chance. Simonsen surrendered just in time.

Dyke led the ace and a second club to declarer's king. Berg played as safely as he could by travelling to the ace of spades, then exiting with the jack of spades to try to maintain fluid transportation between the two hands and keep control if spades were 4-1. He managed the rest easily enough for +450 .

Closed Room:

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sueindal | Skjetne | Rasmussen | Erichsen |
| $1 \diamond$ | Pass | 18 | Pass |
| 14 | Pass | $2 \diamond *$ | Pass |
| 20 | Pass | 24 | Pass |
| 2NT | Pass | 38 | Pass |
| 45 | Pass | 4NT* | Pass |
| 5\%* | Pass | $5 \diamond^{*}$ | Pass |
| 5NT* | Pass | 64 | All Pass |

$2 \diamond$ Game forcing

Rasmussen used the XYZ relay to extract as much information from Sveindal as he could. Sveindal admitted to three-card heart support, tried to choose hearts over spades, and admitted that he had no key cards. It appears that they were not on the same wavelength regarding the five notrump response. The crux of the matter was four notrump. There were three possibilities:
(1) key card for spades
(2) key card for hearts
(3) six-card key cards

Initially, Sveindal was fortunate in that whatever four notrump meant, he had zero key cards! Five diamonds, however, put his feet to the fire. He tried to show the queen of hearts, behind Door \#2, but Rasmussen opened Door \#1 and chose six spades. Perhaps if Sveindal had
bid six hearts over five diamonds, Rasmussen might have let that alone. He might even have made it by taking the combination play of the ace-king of spades followed by a ruffing finesse in diamonds if no queen of spades had appeared. That might also have required some good breaks, or at least no bad breaks.

In six spades, however, Skjetne led the ace of clubs and another. Sveindal took his percentage play in trumps and so was one off. That was 11 IMPs to ERICHSEN, now trailing 17-11. ERICHSEN won one more IMP on the remaining two boards, both deals declared in three notrump at both tables, with only overtricks at stake. That made it NORALIA 17 - ERICHSEN 12.


## DEEP FINESSE

## by Mark Horton

Brian Senior is always ready to report a good play made against him and he dropped by to supply us with this deal from Round 3 of the Open Teams, where Adam Grossack took advantage of a defensive slip:

Board 24. Dealer West. NoneVul.
A K 842
$\checkmark$ J 9642
$\diamond$ Q 9
\& 96

| A J 109 |  | ¢ Q |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\bigcirc 83$ | $\mathrm{w}^{\mathrm{N}}$ E | $\bigcirc$ K Q 107 |
| $\diamond$ J 53 | ${ }^{\mathbf{N}} \mathrm{S}$ | $\diamond$ A 76 |
| \& Q 10532 |  | \& AK J 74 |
| 9 | A 7653 |  |
| $\checkmark$ | A 5 |  |
| $\checkmark$ | K10842 |  |
| 0 | 8 |  |


| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Z.Grossack | N.Senior | A.GrossackB.Senior |  |
| Pass | Pass | $1 \%$ | 14 |
| $2 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $5 \%$ | All Pass |

Brian led the ace of spades for the nine, two and queen.
As he pointed out, given that you know partner has four spades for her preemptive raise you should perhaps construe the two as suit preference and switch to a diamond, but at the time it seemed reasonable to shift to a trump.
Declarer won in hand, crossed to dummy with a club, and played a heart to the seven.
When that forced the ace declarer was not hard pressed to score three heart tricks, which enabled him to pitch two diamonds from dummy and rack up his game. That was a 10 IMP pick up, when the declarer at the other table contrived to go one down in 4\& after the same opening lead.



## HUNGARY GAMAX VS McALLISTER

by Jos Jacobs

At the halfway point of the Swiss qualifications, the two teams mentioned above were in 5th and 6th position on 68.6 and 67.2 V.P. respectively so one would expect them to meet on Sunday morning at tables 5 and 6. This is exactly what happened. The boards proved to be quite exciting in this match, as the IMP exchange was much higher than in any of the other matches on BBO during this round. Not good for the match but mainly good for the Israeli team (McAllister playing with three team-mates one would associate primarily with Israel) was that the exchanges were mostly one-way traffic.

After two flat warming-up boards, McAllister fired a warning shot on board 23:

Board 23. Dealer South. All Vul.


Open Room

| West <br> Barel | North <br> Winkler | East <br> Zack | South <br> Homonnay <br> Pass |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Pass | $4 \uparrow$ | All Pass |  |

Winkler opened 4a third in hand, as good a shot as any. When he ran into a useless dummy, he had to concede one down when the $\diamond \mathrm{Q}$ did not drop. McAllister +100 .

In the other room, Migry Zur-Campanile elected a more cautious approach:

Closed Room

| West <br> Dombi | North <br> Migry | East <br> Lakatos | South <br> McAllister |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Pass | $1 \boldsymbol{1}$ | $2 \Omega$ | Pass |
| Pass | Dble | Pass | $2 \boldsymbol{\$}$ |
| Pass | $3 \boldsymbol{1}$ | All Pass |  |

After this careful auction, NS had reached a contract in which they hardly could go down. Another +140 and 6 IMPs to McAllister to open their account.

More serious stuff on the next five consecutive boards was to follow:

Board 24. Dealer West. None Vul.

|  | 9 | K 86 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\bigcirc$ | 92 |  |
|  | $\diamond$ | A J 32 |  |
|  | 4 | 10986 |  |
| ヘ Q 73 |  |  | ง 952 |
| $\checkmark$ A Q 84 |  | $\mathrm{w}^{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{E}$ | $\bigcirc \mathrm{K} 5$ |
| $\diamond$ K 85 |  | $\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{E}$ | $\diamond 1064$ |
| \& Q J 5 |  |  | \& AK742 |
|  | 9 | A J 104 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$ | J 10763 |  |
|  | $\diamond$ | Q 97 |  |
|  | \& 0 | 3 |  |

Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Barel | Winkler | Zack | Homonnay |
| 1थ | Pass | $2 \boldsymbol{\%}$ | Pass |
| 2NT | Pass | $3 \boldsymbol{\%}$ | Pass |
| 3NT | All Pass |  |  |

After a routine Inverted Minor auction, EW ended up in a normal enough contract. North's diamond lead to declarer's king gave away the ninth trick. A spade lead to the ace, $\boldsymbol{J}$ and a diamond would have beaten it...(and a shift to $\diamond \mathrm{Q}$ doesn't bear thinking about) McAllister +400 .

In the other room, the Hungarian Inverted Minor auction enabled them to discover the holes in the spade stopper and thus to avoid 3NT, but the damage had already been done as even 4\% was too high.

## Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Dombi | Migry | Lakatos | McAllister |
| $1 \mathbf{4}$ | Pass | $2 \boldsymbol{4}$ | Pass |
| $2 \diamond$ | Pass | $2 \Omega$ | Pass |
| $2 \uparrow$ | Pass | $3 \%$ | Pass |
| $3 \uparrow$ | Pass | $4 \%$ | Pass |
| $5 \%$ | All Pass |  |  |

Dombi's final raise to $5 \%$ was, maybe, a little exaggerated but one never knows and it probably would not cost that much. He certainly was right as to the latter aspect: going down one or down two was just a 1-IMP difference. Two down, -100, gave the McAllister team another 11 IMPs.

Next:
Board 25. Dealer North. E/W Vul.


Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Barel | Winkler | Zack | Homonnay |
|  | $1 N T$ | Pass | $2 \boldsymbol{\&}$ |
| Pass | $2 \circlearrowleft$ | Pass | $3 \diamond$ |
| Pass | $3 \circlearrowleft$ | Pass | $4 \uparrow$ |
| Pass | $5 \circlearrowleft$ | Pass | 6NT |
| Pass | Pass | Dble | All Pass |

When North showed a five-card suit in his strong NT, Homonnay was hoping for the best when he launched $4 \boldsymbol{A}$ as RKCB. When he got 2 keycards and the trump queen, he closed his eyes and announced 6NT as the final contract. With 11 tricks already there
in the red suits, the chances were that the contract either was completely cold or that the player on lead would not find the right lead.
As it was, Zack even was actually in a position to double the final contract...down three, +500 to McAllister.
(I wonder how many years East would have aged while waiting for his partner to lead against $7 \diamond$ ?)

Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Dombi | Migry | Lakatos | McAllister |
|  | $1 N T$ | Pass | $3 \%$ |
| Pass | $3 \circlearrowleft$ | Pass | $4 \circlearrowleft$ |

All Pass
Compared to the auction in the Open Room, the CR auction can only be called "dull."
It scored, however, another +450 for McAllister which converted to another 14 IMPs.

More IMPs came on the next board, on which the Hungarians might consider themselves a bit unlucky:

Board 26. Dealer East. All Vul.
A 98543

- Q J 8
$\diamond J$
\& 10962

| ¢ K Q 107 | N | A A J |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
| $\checkmark 6$ | ${ }^{\text {N }}$ - | $\checkmark$ K 9732 |
| $\diamond$ A 107 | $\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{E}$ | $\diamond$ K 83 |
| \& AK J 105 |  | \& Q 74 |
| A | 62 |  |
| $\checkmark$ | A 1054 |  |
| $\diamond$ | Q 96542 |  |
| 0 | 3 |  |

Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Barel | Winkler | Zack | Homonnay |
|  |  | $1 \Omega$ | Pass |
| 24 | Pass | 2NT | Pass |
| 3 | Pass | 3NT | All Pass |

In the Open Room, EW came nowhere near a slam when East kept signing off whenever given the chance.
McAllister +660 .

In the other room, the Hungarians bid quite well:

## Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Dombi | Migry | Lakatos | McAllister |
| 2\& |  | $1 \diamond$ | Pass |
| 2ゅ | Pass | $2 \diamond$ | Pass |
| 3\& | Pass | 2 NT | Pass |
| 4NT | Pass | $3 \diamond$ | Pass |
|  | Pass | $6 \%$ | All Pass |

The slam in clubs was excellent as it would make on almost any not too freakish layout.
In fact, only the actual $\diamond \mathrm{J}$ lead posed a real problem for declarer as he could not know whether this was a true singleton or possibly a false-card from $\diamond$ QJx or whatever.

Declarer took his probably best a priori chance when he won the ace in hand and tried a low heart to the king. Had the ace been with North, he would have been home immediately and if South would win the ace, there still was the chance of discarding the losing diamond on the spades.

South quickly put an end to declarer's hopes by winning his $\checkmark A$ and giving partner a diamond ruff...McAllister another +100 and 13 more IMPs. The score stood at 44-0 now.

On the next board, the Hungarians hoped to recoup some IMPs but their efforts backfired.



Board 27. Dealer South. None Vul.


Open Room

| West <br> Barel | North <br> Winkler | East <br> Zack | South <br> Homonnay <br> Pass |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Pass | $1 \Omega$ | Pass | 1NT |
| Pass | $2 \Omega$ | Pass | Pass |
| Dble <br> All Pass | Pass | $3 \boldsymbol{\$}$ | Dble |

Even on a spade lead, 3\% cannot be beaten as the possible spade loser will disappear on an established diamond -- just in time. Later on, the losing heart can be ruffed. Nine tricks made, and McAllister +470 was thus the outcome of the board.

Closed Room

| West <br> Dombi | North <br> Migry | East <br> Lakatos | South <br> McAllister |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Pass | $1 \varnothing$ |  | Pass |
| Dble | Pass | $2 \%$ | Pass |
|  |  |  | All Pass |

A quiet auction in the other room and, not surprisingly, the same number of tricks for only +110 to Gamax and 8 more IMPs to McAllister.

On the next board, the Hungarians finally did recoup some IMPs:

Board 28. Dealer West. None Vul.


Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Barel | Winkler | Zack | Homonnay |
| $1 \diamond$ | Pass | $1 \Omega$ | Pass |
| $1 \uparrow$ | Pass | $2 \uparrow$ | Pass |
| $2 \circlearrowleft$ | Pass | $2 \uparrow$ | Pass |
| 3NT | Pass | 6 | All Pass |

A bit high but quite playable, this slam, even more so when South led the \&A.
This did not inspire declarer, however, to bring down the Q doubleton behind the AK, so one down still was the result. Gamax +50 .

Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Dombi | Migry | Lakatos | McAllister |
| Pass | Pass | $1 \circlearrowleft$ | Pass |
| $2 \uparrow$ | Pass | $2 \diamond$ | Pass |
| $4 \diamond$ | Pass | 4NT | Pass |
| $5 \uparrow$ | Dble | Pass | Pass |
| $5 \diamond$ | Pass | $5 \circlearrowleft$ | All Pass |

Helped by the double of 5\%, the Hungarians stopped just in time and thus avoided the slam. In fact, $6 \triangle$ has some extra chances as compared to 6 as the spade losers might go on the top diamonds if the $\diamond \mathrm{A}$ is right (or led, for that matter). Gamax scored +480 to win 11 IMPs back but the McAllister team still won the match $52-13$ or $18.6-0.9$ V.P. (slow play penalties deducted).


## THE WINDS OF WINTER

by Mark Horton

A Song of Ice and Fire is a series of epic fantasy novels by the American novelist George R. R. Martin. The first volume of the series, A Game of Thrones, was begun in 1991 and first published in 1996. The series has grown from a planned trilogy to seven volumes, the fifth and most recent of which, A Dance with Dragons, took Martin five years to write before its publication in 2011. The sixth novel, The Winds of Winter, is still being written.
The only reason I mention this is that I have been working on a particular book for more than five years, slightly longer than the four year that have elapsed since Martin started writing The Winds of Winter. At the last count he was sitting on 1,800 pages of manuscript (which is a tad more than I have managed). His books have sold around 60 million copies - which is slightly more than one can expect from a bridge book.
I expect by now that the reader is becoming impatient to see some bridge, so let's check out four deals from the Round 7 encounter between 10CC and Lazer.

Board 3. Dealer South. E-W Vul.
A Q 985
© Q J 97
$\diamond A$
\& A Q J 10


Open Room

| West <br> Braithwaite | North <br> Paske | East <br> Robinson | South <br> Shah <br> Pass |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Pass | 106 | Pass | $1 \Omega$ |
| Pass | $3 \Omega$ | Pass | $4 \Omega$ |

All Pass

West started with what some call the 'movie star' lead of the king of spades and the defenders quickly collected the first three tricks. Declarer was not hard pressed to gather in the remainder, +420 .

Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Castner | Gumby | Gold | Lazer |
|  |  |  | $2 \diamond *$ |
| Pass | 2NT** | Pass | 34** |
| Pass | 40\%* | Pass | 40* |
| All Pass |  |  |  |
| $2 \diamond$ Both majors, weak2NT Relay |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

West led the jack of diamonds and East dropped the queen as declarer won perforce with dummy's ace.
Declarer took two rounds of trumps with the queen and king and played a club to the ten. When that held it looks obvious to take a third round of trumps and repeat the club finesse. Then declarer can cash the clubs and play a spade - no need to get the suit right - and be sure of ten tricks.
Ignoring this possibility declarer ran the five of spades to West's ten, and back came a diamond. Declarer ruffed in dummy pitching a club and played the queen of spades, West winning with the king and playing a third diamond. Declarer ruffed in dummy and threw another club and then, seeing no danger, played a spade (he could still have recovered by laying down the ace of clubs). East won that and West got rid of the king of clubs which meant he could ruff East's club exit.
An embarrassing -50 and 10 IMPs to 10CC.
(One of the Editors pointed out that this deal resembled one reported by Terence Reese. When the makeable contract failed Terence, never one to mince words, wrote, 'Of anyone who went down on this deal one would have to say that bridge was not their game'.
Mind you, I doubt they were on the ninth day of a major Championship.

Board 7. Dealer South. All Vul.
A Q 973
『 10976
$\diamond$ Q J
\& Q 105

| A A65 |  | A K 102 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\bigcirc$ A 2 | $\mathrm{w}^{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{E}$ | $\bigcirc$ Q J 54 |
| $\diamond$ A 852 | ${ }^{\mathbf{w}}$ S | $\diamond 10974$ |
| \& A 872 |  | of K 3 |
|  | A J 84 |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ K 83 |  |
|  | $\diamond$ K 63 |  |
|  | \& J 964 |  |

Open Room

| West <br> Braithwaite | North <br> Paske | East <br> Robinson | South <br> Shah |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $1 N T$ | Pass | $24^{*}$ | Pass |
| $2 \diamond^{*}$ | Pass | 3NT | All Pass |

## 2\& Stayman

North led the ten of hearts for the queen, king and ace and declarer continued with the ace of diamonds and a diamond, North winning with the queen as South followed with the six and three. Declarer ducked the ten of hearts (South following with the three) won the next heart with dummy's jack (throwing a spade) and cleared the diamonds, North pitching the seven of spades.
South returned the four of clubs and declarer won with dummy's king and cashed the ten of diamonds. South threw the four of spades and North, mistakenly, parted with the three.
Declarer played a club to the ace and refusing to believe the spades were now good for three tricks exited with a club, a relieved North taking the queen of clubs and the master heart for one down, -100 .

## Closed Room

| West <br> Castner | North <br> Gumby | East <br> Gold | South <br> Lazer <br> Pass |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 NT | Pass | $3 \boldsymbol{\iota}^{*}$ | Pass |
| $3 \diamond^{*}$ | Pass | $3 \boldsymbol{*}^{*}$ | Pass |
| 3NT | All Pass |  |  |

Here North went for the more aggressive spade lead and declarer put in dummy's ten, and ducked when South played the jack. He took the second spade with the ace and played two rounds of diamonds, North winning and clearing the spades.

Declarer played a diamond to South's king (North threw the spade queen) took the club return with the ace and cashed the master diamond. North correctly pitched a club, but South, down to
๑K83 \& J96 parted with a heart.
Declarer promptly played a heart to the ace and ducked a heart and was home. A very nice +600 and 12 IMPs to 10 CC .

Board 8. Dealer West. None Vul.
A. Q 74
$\checkmark 75$
$\diamond$ K Q J 10864
\& $Q$


Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Braithwaite | Paske | Robinson Shah |  |
| $1 ヵ$ | $3 \diamond$ | $4 \uparrow$ | $5 \diamond$ |
| $5 \diamond$ | Pass | $6 \uparrow$ | All Pass |

North led the king of diamonds and declarer won perforce with dummy's ace pitching the two of clubs and played the king of spades,-50.

Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Castner | Gumby | Gold | Lazer |
| $1 \uparrow$ | $3 \diamond$ | $4 \uparrow$ | $5 \diamond$ |
| $6 \diamond$ | Pass | $6 \uparrow$ | All Pass |

Same lead, same sequence of plays, same result, no swing.

A cursory glance reveals that 60 odd declarers in the Open went down, while just 8 made 64, while in the Women the ratio was 9-2.

A couple of things:
First, suppose declarer were to play a round of clubs before touching trumps? If you believe North's queen then the chances of North's having the spade length increase.
Second, and perhaps more compelling, in my experience the player who jump raises the preemptor always has a shortage.
It might even make a good Bols Bridge Tip.

Board 9. Dealer North. E/W Vul.

- AK 43
$\checkmark$ K 94
$\diamond$ AJ 65
\& 64


Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Braithwaite | Paske | Robinson Shah |  |
|  | 1NT | $2 \boldsymbol{q}^{*}$ | Pass |
| $2 \diamond^{*}$ | Pass | $2 \mathrm{NT}^{*}$ | Pass |
| $3 \circlearrowleft$ | Pass | $4 \boldsymbol{q}^{*}$ | All Pass |

South led the jack of spades and when declarer
played dummy's two North put up the king and returned a club. Declarer won with the queen, played a heart to the ace and a diamond. North took the ace, played another club and had to come to a diamond to hold declarer to his contract.

Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Castner | Gumby | Gold | Lazer |
|  | $1 N T$ | 2 NT $^{*}$ | Pass |
| $3 \Omega$ | Pass | $5 \%$ | All Pass |

South led the jack of spades and when North followed with the three South failed to switch to a club, playing a second spade.
Declarer ruffed, played a heart to the ace and a diamond, putting in the ten when North followed with the five. When that held he ruffed a diamond, drew trumps and played a top diamond, claiming, +600 for another 10 IMPs to 10CC, who won 32-11, consolidating their position at the business end of the table.

## ANYONE FOR... CROQUET?

by Barry Rigal

Mark Horton has written about this deal, commenting that anyone who went down in 4 might consider looking for a game more suitable for his talents. Tiddlywinks or mumblety-peg anyone?
You might think that; I couldn't possibly comment. But at the table I was watching, an eminent declarer explained to me just how it was possible sensibly to go down here.

Board 3 Dealer South. EW Vul.


| West <br> Tuncok | North <br> Bilde | East <br> Dinkins | South <br> Willenken <br> Pass |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Pass $1 \&$ $1 \diamond$ | Dble |  |  |
| $3 \diamond$ | $4 \diamond$ | All Pass |  |

Dennis Bilde received the lead of $\diamond \mathrm{K}$, ( KQ or AK ) West playing a suit-preference 10, so Bilde knew West surely had A or K10 and since East had made a simple overcall it seemed that West had six diamonds - but not enough for a mixed raise to $3 \%$. After quite a lot of thought Bilde drew two rounds of trumps with the queen and ace, then led the heart ten to the jack in his hand, and advanced an underhand $\% 10$. He expected to sneak this past East and then switch to spades. If he could find the $\$ 10$ he would score two clubs, two spades, a diamond and five trump tricks one way or another.
As it was West won a bonus trick with $\& \mathrm{~K}$ but woodenly continued the attack on clubs. Declarer now had the tempo to set up two spade tricks with the aid of a good guess in that suit. Note that had West given the ruff and discard when in with the $\% \mathrm{~K}$, the defenders can lead diamonds at every turn and defeat the game.
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## YET ANOTHER LAYDOWN GAME?

by Barry Rigal

## Prompted by the Editor

When this deal was played in round seven of the teams qualifier, I expect a majority of the field who played 3NT unsuccessfully scratched their heads and wondered if Deep Finesse could bring home the game. The answer is: yes and no.

Board 7 Dealer South. Both Vul.


According to Deep Finesse, if South is on lead he has to keep his hands off a low heart, while North can lead any card in their hand and beat $3 N T$. But I can outplay DF any day of the week; I'll wager I can bring home +600 with one hand tied behind my back. You doubt me? Put your money where your mouth is.

The wagers having been made, I'll give you my one stipulation: I'm declaring $5 \diamond$ (as West though it is also cold from East) not 3NT. The defenders do as well to lead spades as anything. I win South's eight in hand and duck a diamond, take the next spade in dummy, finesse in hearts (covered all round) then cash $\diamond A$ and crossruff in hearts and clubs to reach this ending.


Curiously for an elopement, it doesn't matter which hand you are in (the funny thing about this position is you can basically play the suits in pretty much any order you like - the defence are helpless). If the lead is in East you ruff a heart and South can either pitch a spade when you ruff a club, or pitch a club when you lead the fourth club and discard a spade.
Or if the lead is in hand you ruff a club and lead the heart to score the $\diamond 8$ en passant.
I told you it was an easy hand!


Madeira offers a unique opportunity to enjoy a natural environment bathed by a mild climate all year round, with extraordinary landscapes such as tropical gardens, a deep blue Ocean, and the natural hospitality of its people which makes Madeira a high-quality
destination ideal for holidays and events. MADEIRA BRIDGE ASSOCIATION, the VIDAMAR RESORTS MADEIRA and INTERTOURS TRAVEL AGENCY are organizing the 18th MADEIRA INTERNATIONAL BRIDGE OPEN.

The VIDAMAR RESORTS MADEIRA has ocean-view rooms and natural daylight in all public areas including the bridge tournament room. This room is situated on the 4th floor the same floor as the reception and has air-conditioning and access to an outside terrace with sea
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7th EUROPEAN OPEN BRIDGE CHAMPIONSHIPS
Tromsø, Norway

## MASTERPOINT RACE

|  | Mixed Teams | Open BAM 1 | Mixed Pairs | EBL CUP | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CRONIER Philippe | 19 |  | 75 |  | 94 |
| WILLARD Sylvie | 19 |  | 75 |  | 94 |
| HELGEMO Geir |  |  | 65 |  | 65 |
| LANGELAND Aase |  |  | 65 |  | 65 |
| BAKKEREN Ton | 60 |  |  |  | 60 |
| HOP Jacco | 60 |  |  |  | 60 |
| MADSEN Christina Lund | 60 |  |  |  | 60 |
| WORTEL Meike | 60 |  |  |  | 60 |
| GROMOV Andrey | 2 |  | 55 |  | 57 |
| GROMOVA Victoria | 2 |  | 55 |  | 57 |
| BUTRYN Piotr |  |  | 50 |  | 50 |
| SAKOWSKA Natalia |  |  | 50 |  | 50 |
| BALDYSZ Cathy | 40 |  | 9 |  | 49 |
| JASZCZAK Andrzej | 40 |  | 9 |  | 49 |
| AVON Danielle |  |  | 45 |  | 45 |
| VOLDOIRE Jean-Michel |  |  | 45 |  | 45 |
| SARNIAK Anna | 40 |  | 2 |  | 42 |
| AUKEN Sabine |  |  | 40 |  | 40 |
| HARASIMOWICZ Ewa | 40 |  |  |  | 40 |
| JANISZEWSKI Przemyslaw | 40 |  |  |  | 40 |
| WELLAND Roy |  |  | 40 |  | 40 |
| WOJCIESZEK Jakub | 40 |  |  |  | 40 |
| BREKKA Geir |  |  | 35 |  | 35 |
| FUGLESTAD Ann Karin |  |  | 35 |  | 35 |
| HANSEN Jonny |  |  | 30 |  | 30 |
| RIMSTEDT Cecilia | 19 |  | 11 |  | 30 |
| UPMARK Johan | 19 |  | 11 |  | 30 |
| VIST Gunn Tove |  |  | 30 |  | 30 |
| KIZILOK Omer |  | 2 | 25 |  | 27 |
| KUTUK Basak |  | 2 | 25 |  | 27 |
| HAYMAN PIAFSKY Jessica |  |  | 22 |  | 22 |
| KALITA Jacek |  |  | 22 |  | 22 |
| McCALLUM Karen | 19 |  | 2 |  | 21 |
| MULTON Franck | 19 |  | 2 |  | 21 |
| TUNCOK Cenk | 19 |  | 2 |  | 21 |
| MOLLE Linda |  |  |  | 20 | 20 |
| TER LAARE Marco |  |  |  | 20 | 20 |
| D'OVIDIO Catherine | 19 |  |  |  | 19 |
| HESKJE Torild |  |  | 19 |  | 19 |
| OVESEN Jo-Arne |  |  | 19 |  | 19 |
| WERNLE Alexander | 19 |  |  |  | 19 |
| ZIMMERMANN Pierre | 19 |  |  |  | 19 |
| ZOCHOWSKA Joanna | 19 |  |  |  | 19 |
| BERKOWITZ Dana |  |  | 16 |  | 16 |
| WILLENKEN Chris |  |  | 16 |  | 16 |
| CLAIR Paolo |  |  |  | 15 | 15 |
| PAGNINI-ARSLAN Carla |  |  |  | 15 | 15 |
| KOWALSKI Apolinary | 2 |  |  | 12 | 14 |
| MISZEWSKA Ewa | 2 |  |  | 12 | 14 |
| SANBORN Kerri |  | 10 |  | 3 | 13 |
| SANBORN Steve |  | 10 |  | 3 | 13 |
| SCHIPPERS-B. Elly |  |  | 13 |  | 13 |
| STIENEN Rene |  |  | 13 |  | 13 |
| OZGUNES Ayse |  | 2 |  | 10 | 12 |
| UZUM Dogan |  | 2 |  | 10 | 12 |
| BERKOWITZ David |  | 10 |  |  | 10 |
| BERKOWITZ Lisa |  | 10 |  |  | 10 |
| BLAAGESTAD Lise | 8 |  | 2 |  | 10 |
| BOGEN Anne Irene | 8 |  | 2 |  | 10 |


| go to page: | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 24 | 25 | 26 | 27 | 28 | 29 | 30 | 31 | 32 | 33 | 34 | 35 | 36 | 37 | 38 | 39 | 40 | BRACKETS |  |  |  |  |  |


|  | Mixed Teams | Open BAM 1 | Mixed Pairs | EBL CUP | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BOGEN Frank | 8 |  | 2 |  | 10 |
| FREDIN Peter | 8 |  | 2 |  | 10 |
| GULEVICH Anna | 2 |  |  | 8 | 10 |
| LINDAAS Pernille | 8 |  | 2 |  | 10 |
| MANNO Andrea | 8 |  | 2 |  | 10 |
| OLIVIERI Gabriella | 8 |  | 2 |  | 10 |
| PISCITELLI Francesca | 8 |  | 2 |  | 10 |
| RINGSETH Jorn Arild | 8 |  | 2 |  | 10 |
| ZALESKI Romain | 8 |  | 2 |  | 10 |
| BERTHEAU Kathrine | 8 |  |  |  | 8 |
| BOGEN Haakon | 8 |  |  |  | 8 |
| CHARLSEN Thomas | 8 |  |  |  | 8 |
| GOLIN Cristina | 8 |  |  |  | 8 |
| HARDING Gerd Marit | 8 |  |  |  | 8 |
| HOFTANISKA Thor Erik | 8 |  |  |  | 8 |
| LANZAROTTI Massimo | 8 |  |  |  | 8 |
| LARSSON Jessica | 8 |  |  |  | 8 |
| MATUSHKO Georgi |  |  |  | 8 | 8 |
| STANGHELLE Helge | 8 |  |  |  | 8 |
| BERGHEIM Geir Egil |  | 7 |  |  | 7 |
| BONES Turid |  | 7 |  |  | 7 |
| BREKKE Vegard |  | 7 |  |  | 7 |
| FABER Hege Charlotte |  | 7 |  |  | 7 |
| HELMERSEN Kjell Ove |  | 7 |  |  | 7 |
| KJAER Ellen |  | 7 |  |  | 7 |
| NICOLAYSEN Finn Robert |  | 7 |  |  | 7 |
| NILSSON Hakan |  |  | 7 |  | 7 |
| NORENG Hans |  | 7 |  |  | 7 |
| OPPENSTAM Agneta |  |  | 7 |  | 7 |
| RONNING Ola |  | 7 |  |  | 7 |
| SNEVE Sissel |  | 7 |  |  | 7 |
| GAVIARD Daniele |  |  |  | 5 | 5 |
| MARRO Christophe |  |  |  | 5 | 5 |
| WENNING Karin |  |  | 5 |  | 5 |
| WENNING Ulrich |  |  | 5 |  | 5 |
| BROCK Sally | 2 |  | 2 |  | 4 |
| DE BOTTON Janet | 2 |  | 2 |  | 4 |
| GRAIZER Nurit |  | 4 |  |  | 4 |
| HELNESS Gunn | 2 |  | 2 |  | 4 |
| HELNESS Tor | 2 |  | 2 |  | 4 |
| HORVITZ Shimshon |  | 4 |  |  | 4 |
| MALINOWSKI Artur | 2 |  | 2 |  | 4 |
| MARK Micha |  | 4 |  |  | 4 |
| MARK Sonia |  | 4 |  |  | 4 |
| MERMELSTEIN Gabi |  | 4 |  |  | 4 |
| MORAWSKI Dariusz | 2 |  | 2 |  | 4 |
| MYERS Barry | 2 |  | 2 |  | 4 |
| NAVEH Nurit |  | 4 |  |  | 4 |
| BAKKE Christian |  |  | 3 |  | 3 |
| GRUDE Liv Marit |  |  | 3 |  | 3 |
| ASLA Ronnaug |  |  | 2 |  | 2 |
| BANASZKIEWICZ Ewa | 2 |  |  |  | 2 |
| BILDE Dennis |  |  | 2 |  | 2 |
| BLOOM Valerie |  |  | 2 |  | 2 |
| BOHNSACK Henning |  |  | 2 |  | 2 |
| BOHNSACK Susanne |  |  | 2 |  | 2 |
| BREDE Lukasz | 2 |  |  |  | 2 |
| CAMERON Gail |  |  | 2 |  | 2 |
| CAYNE Patricia |  |  | 2 |  | 2 |
| CILLEBORG Dorte |  |  | 2 |  | 2 |
| COPE Simon | 2 |  |  |  | 2 |
| CORNELL Michael |  |  | 2 |  | 2 |
| CORNELL Vivien |  |  | 2 |  | 2 |
| DE FALCO Dano |  |  | 2 |  | 2 |
| DOMICHI Noriko |  |  | 2 |  | 2 |


|  | Mixed Teams | Open BAM 1 | Mixed Pairs | EBL CUP | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DUBININ Alexander | 2 |  |  |  | 2 |
| EBER Neville |  |  | 2 |  | 2 |
| ELLINGSEN Kristian | 2 |  |  |  | 2 |
| GODEJORD Oddrun |  |  | 2 |  | 2 |
| GOLD David |  |  | 2 |  | 2 |
| GROSS Susanna |  |  | 2 |  | 2 |
| GUI Shengyue |  |  | 2 |  | 2 |
| GUPTA Subhash |  |  | 2 |  | 2 |
| HEGGE Kristoffer | 2 |  |  |  | 2 |
| HELLEMANN Anne-Lill | 2 |  |  |  | , |
| HELNESS Fredrik | 2 |  |  |  | 2 |
| HETZ Clara |  |  | 2 |  | 2 |
| ISPORSKI Vladislav Nikolov | 2 |  |  |  | 2 |
| KEDZIERSKA Urszula |  |  | 2 |  | 2 |
| KHANDELWAL Himani |  |  | 2 |  | 2 |
| KHANDELWAL Rajeev |  |  | 2 |  | 2 |
| KHOLOMEEV Vadim |  |  | 2 |  | 2 |
| KOVACHEV Valentin | 2 |  |  |  | 2 |
| LEV Sam |  |  | 2 |  | 2 |
| LEVITINA Irina |  |  | 2 |  | 2 |
| LYBAEK Astrid Steen | 2 |  |  |  | 2 |
| MALAKOVA Desislava | 2 |  |  |  | 2 |
| MARKUSSEN Svein |  |  | 2 |  | 2 |
| MARQUARDT Diana | 2 |  |  |  | 2 |
| MCALLISTER John |  |  | 2 |  | 2 |
| MICHIELSEN Marion |  |  | 2 |  | 2 |
| MOE Haavard |  |  | 2 |  | 2 |
| MORTENSEN Maria Dam | 2 |  |  |  | 2 |
| NIKOLOVA MARTA | 2 |  |  |  | 2 |
| PACHTMAN Ron |  |  | 2 |  | 2 |
| PASKE Thomas |  |  | 2 |  | 2 |
| PENFOLD Sandra | 2 |  |  |  | 2 |
| PETTERSEN Ann Marie |  |  | 2 |  | 2 |
| PETTERSEN Knut |  |  | 2 |  | 2 |
| PONOMAREVA Tatiana | 2 |  |  |  | 2 |
| PUNCH Sam |  |  | 2 |  | 2 |
| RAKHMANI Diana |  |  | 2 |  | 2 |
| REES Tim |  |  | 2 |  | 2 |
| ROBERTSON Marion | 2 |  |  |  | 2 |
| ROSENTHAL Andrew |  |  | 2 |  | 2 |
| SALONEN Irmeli | 2 |  |  |  | 2 |
| SEALE Catherine |  |  | 2 |  | 2 |
| SENIOR Brian | 2 |  |  |  | 2 |
| SENIOR Nevena | 2 |  |  |  | 2 |
| SHI Bin |  |  | 2 |  | 2 |
| SIELICKI Tomasz |  |  | 2 |  | 2 |
| SKORCHEV Stefan | 2 |  |  |  | 2 |
| STABELL Leif-Erik |  |  | 2 |  | 2 |
| TIAN Wei |  |  | 2 |  | 2 |
| TRENDAFILOV Roumen | 2 |  |  |  | 2 |
| VAINIKONIS Vytautas | 2 |  |  |  | 2 |
| VAN PROOIJEN Ricco |  |  | 2 |  | 2 |
| WANG Liping |  |  | 2 |  | 2 |
| WARD-PLATT Kiki |  |  | 2 |  | 2 |
| WILSON Alison |  |  | 2 |  | 2 |
| ZUR-CAMPANILE Migry |  |  | 2 |  | 2 |
| ANFINSEN Ivar M. |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |
| HUANG Yan |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |
| LI Xiaoyi |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |
| LU Dong |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |
| SOLHEIM Eli |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |
| SUN Shaolin |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |
| WANG Yanhong |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |
| WU Shaohong |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |
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## OPEN TEAMS ORIGINAL SEEDING

| 1 | MONACO |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2 | MATCHPOINTNYC |
| 3 | ROSENTHAL |
| 4 | CAYNE |
| 5 | KHYUPPENEN |
| 6 | GERMANY OPEN |
| 7 | GORDON |
| 8 | MAZURKIEWICZ |
| 9 | VENTIN |
| 10 | PENFOLD |
| 11 | LEVINE |
| 12 | MAHAFFEY |
| 13 | HAUGE |
| 14 | BLACK |
| 15 | SOUTH AFRICA |
| 16 | IRELAND |
| 17 | VITAS |
| 18 | DE BOTTON |
| 19 | A J DIAMONDS |
| 20 | VINCIGUERRA |
| 21 | KO1 |
| 22 | BRENO |
| 23 | MCALLISTER |
| 24 | DE MICHELIS |
| 25 | PDC |
| 26 | BAREKET |
| 27 | REOPEN |
| 28 | 10CC |
| 29 | BEIJING TRINERGY |
| 30 | TEAM ORANGE BLUE |
| 31 | TEAM ORANGE RED |
| 32 | ANNA |
| 33 | ABAX |
| 34 | BLUND |
| 35 | OTVOSI |
| 36 | TEAM ORANGE WHITE |
| 37 | LABAERE |

38 BINKIE
39 SCANDIC HOLMENKOLLEN
40 ROMANIA
41 GILLIS
42 MAJORISTAMBUL
43 TEXAN AND YANKS
44 BRIDGE24PL B
45 VALIO
46 NORWAY JUNIORS BLUE
47 NORALIA
48 HUNGARY GAMAX
49 LEVY STERN
50 SAYILKAN
51 MAGNUSSON
52 ERICHSEN
53 SIN DEUT
54 STABELL
55 IRG
56 LAZER
57 ATLAS
58 NORWAY JUNIORS WHITE
59 SAYPJOHANSEN
60 AMABOKKE
61 STAR
62 HOEYLAND
63 NOR NZ
64 RASEDYRA
65 BEYER
66 BUMU
67 ABERDEEN ANGUS
68 HOFFMAN
69 FOSSEN EIENDOM
70 NOE BAK
71 PANDORA
72 JUNIORS DISIPLER
73 HARRIS

## WOMEN TEAMS ORIGINAL SEEDING



## OPEN TEAMS

| 1 | TEAM ORANGE W | 158.26 | 52 | ANNA | 101.12 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | HUNGARY GAMAX | 132.88 | 53 | LITT AV ET LA | 100.00 |
| 3 | MONACO | 132.63 | 54 | BINKIE | 99.69 |
| 4 | ROSENTHAL | 131.57 | 55 | BEYER | 99.06 |
| 5 | 10CC | 130.06 | 56 | DE MICHELIS | 97.90 |
| 6 | VINCIGUERRA | 125.80 | 57 | DINGITT | 97.74 |
| 7 | LEVINE | 121.56 | 58 | IRELAND | 96.43 |
| 8 | TEAM ORANGE R | 119.78 | 59 | SCANDIC HOLME | 96.17 |
| 9 | LAZER | 119.20 | 60 | ALTA | 96.04 |
| 10 | VITAS | 119.06 | 61 | FOSSEN EIENDO | 95.49 |
| 11 | DE BOTTON | 118.56 | 62 | TEAM ORANGE B | 95.12 |
| 12 | MCALLISTER | 118.48 | 63 | PENFOLD | 95.10 |
| 13 | KHYUPPENEN | 118.35 | 64 | RASEDYRA | 95.02 |
| 14 | VENTIN | 118.09 | 65 | TEAM SARPSBOR | 94.77 |
| 15 | NORALIA | 117.12 | 66 | GORDON | 94.76 |
| 16 | BLUND | 117.05 | 67 | SPEKKHOGGER | 93.86 |
| 17 | LABAERE | 116.30 | 68 | STOKKEN | 93.44 |
| 18 | LEVY STERN | 115.66 | 69 | TEXAN AND YAN | 93.27 |
| 19 | HOEYLAND | 115.09 | 70 | ARNEDANSKES V | 92.79 |
| 20 | K01 | 114.92 | 71 | NORWAY JUNIOR | 92.47 |
| 21 | BAANNBRIDGE | 114.89 | 72 | ZURZITZ | 92.31 |
| 22 | MAZURKIEWICZ | 114.60 | 73 | NOE BAK | 91.87 |
| 23 | SOUTH AFRICA | 114.51 | 74 | PANDORA | 89.69 |
| 24 | BLACK | 114.26 | 75 | ROMANIA | 89.56 |
| 25 | ERICHSEN | 113.54 | 76 | BEIJING TRINE | 89.41 |
| 26 | PDC | 113.52 | 77 | IRG | 88.75 |
| 27 | MAJORISTAMBUL | 113.17 | 78 | JUNIORS DISIP | 88.13 |
| 28 | A J DIAMONDS | 113.09 | 79 | SINSEN BK | 86.04 |
| 29 | ACDC | 113.07 | 80 | HOFFMAN | 85.47 |
| 30 | BRENO | 112.44 | 81 | ROGNAN BK | 85.37 |
| 31 | ATLAS | 112.40 | 82 | SIN DEUT | 84.82 |
| 32 | ABAX | 111.90 | 83 | SALTEN RUNDT | 84.36 |
| 33 | GERMANY OPEN | 108.78 | 84 | TEAM FFFF | 84.01 |
| 34 | BAREKET | 108.74 | 85 | LASJ | 82.93 |
| 35 | VALIO | 108.68 | 86 | HOUSE OF CARD | 82.38 |
| 36 | NORWAY JUNIOR | 107.70 | 87 | ABERDEEN ANGU | 82.16 |
| 37 | BUMU | 107.32 | 88 | CUPIDO | 80.96 |
| 38 | NOR NZ | 105.21 | 89 | LUCKY LOSERS | 80.92 |
| 39 | MAGNUSSON | 104.96 | 90 | SALTEN | 79.73 |
| 40 | HOFF | 104.86 | 91 | BRAENDVANG | 79.03 |
| 41 | MATCHPOINTNYC | 104.84 | 92 | BRIDGE24PL B | 77.77 |
| 42 | GILLIS | 104.50 | 93 | STAR | 75.08 |
| 43 | BK 33 TROMSO | 104.47 | 94 | REOPEN | 72.89 |
| 44 | SKIMMELAND | 104.37 | 95 | HARRIS | 72.20 |
| 45 | HAUGE | 103.88 | 96 | OTVOSI | 71.84 |
| 46 | MAHAFFEY | 103.70 | 97 | MALUISH | 69.87 |
| 47 | SAYILKAN | 103.01 | 98 | UELAND | 68.37 |
| 48 | STABELL | 102.76 | 99 | STEINKJER OPE | 67.09 |
| 49 | AMABOKKE | 102.03 | 100 | MARBELLA | 63.13 |
| 50 | CAYNE | 101.91 | 101 | FEARLESS | 58.14 |
| 51 | SAYPJOHANSEN | 101.27 | 102 | LEVIRO | 55.81 |

## WOMEN TEAMS

## RESULTS AFTER ROUND 10

| 1 | POLAND | 128.99 | 11 | CHINA RED | 103.23 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | WWW GUTS COM | 128.26 | 12 | FOXY LADIES | 101.39 |
| 3 | NETHERLANDS W | 125.87 | 13 | BROGELAND | 100.59 |
| 4 | HESKJE | 118.49 | 14 | CONSTANCE | 100.24 |
| 5 | GUNSMOKE | 115.09 | 15 | GERMAN LADIES | 99.19 |
| 6 | CAMERON | 110.03 | 16 | SOMNA IL | 95.44 |
| 7 | CHINA ORANGE | 109.97 | 17 | MNA GAELACH | 85.95 |
| 8 | BAKER | 109.50 | 18 | BLONDIE NEMI | 58.43 |
| 9 | DESAPALI | 107.19 | 19 | LADIES IN RED | 57.24 |
| 10 | LESLIE LADIES | 105.43 | 20 | JUST DO IT | 39.48 |

## SENIOR TEAMS

RESULTS AFTER ROUND 13

| 1 | TAKE | 178.02 | 8 | TO HEROAR | 125.15 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | SAGG | 154.77 | 9 | WALGER | 124.49 |
| 3 | NOTTEROY | 149.38 | 10 | SCOTLAND | 123.71 |
| 4 | SORVOLL | 140.63 | 11 | HEMS | 123.25 |
| 5 | GERMAN SENIOR | 135.75 | 12 | BLANDA DROPS | 105.76 |
| 6 | MARI | 134.42 | 13 | HONKAVUORI | 104.46 |
| 7 | YOUNG BOYS | 125.62 | 14 | LIONS ROAR | 94.59 |

## EUSA University Bridge Championships in Poland October 2015

From September 30th till October 7th 2015, the 3rd EUSA (European University Sports Association, www.eusa. eu) University Bridge Championships will take place in Poland, Warsaw. This is a competition between universities in which at least 2 students must be from the university they represent. The entry must be made by their National University Sports Federations.
Entries can still be made but should be
 done quickly.
All information on this event can be found at www.unibridge.eu or facebook "Uni bridge". In case of any question, please contact geert.magerman@telenet.be

ORANGE WHITE
LAZER
LAZER


## KHIUPPENEN <br> ORANGE RED

ORANGE WHITE: B. Drijver, D. Molenar, B. Nab, T. Verbeek, A. Maas (cpt), T. Bakkeren (coach)

LAZER: I. Robinson, W. Lazer, P. Gumby, A. Braithwaite VINCIGUERRA: H. Vinciguerra, M. Bompis, C. Lorenzini, J.C. Quantin

VITAS: V. Vainikonis, W. Olanski, L. FIsher, R. Schwartz, J. Skrpzypczak, B. Gierulski

KHIUPPENEN: A. Sterkin, B. Muller, G. Matushko, V. Kholomeev, Y. Khiuppenen, S. De Wijs

ORANGE RED: J. Van Lankveld, B. Van Den Bos, M. Ticha, R. Ritmeijer, A. Maas (cpt), T. Bakkeren (coach) DE BOTTON: J. De Botton, A. Malinkwski, T. E. Hoftaniska, T. Charlsen, N. Sandquist, T. Townsend


ROSENTHAL: A. Rosenthal, A. Silverstein, C. Willenken, D. Bilde, S. Brink, B. Drijver

MONACO: P. Zimmermann, F. Fantoni, F. Multon, C. Nunes, $\grave{T}$. Helness, G. Helgemo

NORALIA: A. Rasmussen, J. Sveindal, K. Dyke, J. Howard
10CC: S. Cope, R. Bowley, S. Shah, T. Paske
VENTIN: J. C. Ventin, F. Wrang, F. Nystrom, J. Upmark
LEVINE: M. Levine, D. McGarry, D. Bakhshi, R. Ekeblad,
R. V. Prooijen, L. Verhees Jr

McALUSTER: J. McAllister, M. Zur-Campanile, M. Barel, A. Zack

BLUND: B. Lund, O. Berset, A. Hornslien, O. A. Hoeyem, J. Molberg

HUNGARY G.: G. Homonnay, G. Winkler, G. Dombi, P. Lakatos, Geza Homonnay


## SENIOR TEAMS KNOCKOUT

TAKE: L. Trapp, K. Aldeborg, K. Karlsson, G. Elmroth SORVOLL: J. Sorvoll, E. Bolviken, S. Koch, T. Clemetsen NOTEROY: K. Bertheau, T. Heskje, S. Thoresen, J. Larsson SAGG: P. B. Sundseth, A. Lorentzen, K. Kjernsrod, T. Walle,


